## Lecture 14: Passwords
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Spring 2024

## Where we were...

- Something you are
fingerprint, retinal scan, hand silhouette, a pulse
- Something you know
password, passphrase, PIN, answers to security questions
- Something you have
physical key, ticket, \{ATM, prox, credit\} card, token


## Password lifecycle

1. Create: user chooses password
2. Store: system stores password with user identifier
3. Use: user supplies password to authenticate
4. Change/recover/reset: user wants or needs to change password

## 1. PASSWORD CREATION

## Who creates?

- User


## Exercise 1: Choosing Passwords

Guess the top five most common US passwords in 2023

## Who creates?

- User
- System
- Administrator


## Strong passwords

- How to characterize strength?
- One Approach: Difficulty to brute force-"strength" or "security level"
- Recall: if $2^{\wedge} X$ guesses required, strength is $X$
- Suppose passwords are L characters long from an alphabet of N characters
- Then N^L possible passwords
- Solve for X in $2^{\wedge} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} \mathrm{L}$
- Get $X=L \log _{2} N$
- This $X$ is aka entropy of password
- Assuming every password is equally likely, X is the Shannon entropy of the probability distribution (cf. Information Theory)


## Exercise 2: Entropy of passwords

- Option A: 8 character passwords chosen uniformly at random from 26 character alphabet
- Option B: 1 word chosen at random from entire vocabulary


## Password Recipes

- Problem: guide users into choosing strong passwords
- Solution: password recipes are rules for composing passwords
- e.g., must have at least one number and one punctuation symbol and one upper case letter

CREATE YOUR PASSWORD *
Show

```
Your password must
Be at least 9 characters
Include an uppercase letter
O Include a lowercase letter
O Include a number
Not start or end with a space
```


## Entropy estimation

## - Entropy estimates [NIST 2006 based on experiments by Shannon]:

- (assuming English and use of 94 characters from keyboard)
- $1^{\text {st }}$ character: 4 bits
- next 7 characters: 2 bits per character
- characters 9..20: 1.5 bits per character
- characters 21+: 1 bit per character
- user forced to use lower \& upper case and non-alphabetics: flat bonus of 6 bits
- prohibition of passwords found in a 50k word dictionary: 0 to 6 bits, depending on password length


## Entropy estimation

## But:

- "[NIST's] notion of password entropy...does not provide a valid metric for measuring the security provided by password creation policies."
- Underlying problem: Shannon entropy not a good predictor of how quickly attackers can crack passwords


## Password Cracking

- Evaluate recipes based on
- percentage of passwords cracked
- number of guesses required to crack
- Example recipes:

1. $\geq 8$ characters
2. $\geq 8$ characters, no blacklisted words ...with various blacklists
3. $\geq 8$ characters, no blacklisted words, one uppercase, lowercase, symbol, and digit ("comprehensive", c8)
4. $\geq 16$ characters ("passphrase", b16)

- Results...


## Recipe comparison




THROUGH 20 YEARS OF EFFORT, WE'VE SUCCESSFULLY TRAINED EVERYONE TO USE PASSWORDS THIAT ARE HARD FOR HUMANS TO REMEMBER, BUT EASY FOR COMPUTERS TO GUESS.

## Passwords

NIST (2017, updated 2020) recommends:

- minimum of 8 characters
- up to 64 characters should be accepted
- all printable ASCII characters and Unicode should be accepted
- blacklist compromised values, dictionary words, repetitive characters, and context-specific words
- no other security requirements

Should provide guidance on picking a good password (e.g., password meter

## 2. PASSWORD STORAGE

## Password Storage

- Passwords typically stored in a file or database indexed by username
- Strawman idea: store passwords in plaintext
- requires perfect authorization mechanisms
- requires trusted system administrators


## Threat Model: Offline Attack

- Adversary can read files from disk


| BRANDS WITH 100M+ LEAKED RECORDS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| BRAND NAME | RECORDS LEAKED |
| Tencent | 1.5B |
| Weibo | 504 M |
| MySpace | 360M |
| Twitter | 281M |
| Wattpad | 271M |
| NetEase | 261M |
| Deezer | 258M |
| Linkedln | 251M |
| AdultFriendFinder | 220M |
| Zynga | 217M |
| Luxottica | 206M |
| Evite | 179M |
| Zing | 164M |
| Adobe | 153M |
| MyFitnessPal | 151M |
| Canva | 143M |
| JD.com | 142M |
| Badoo | 127M |
| vK | 101M |
| Youku | 100M |

- Adversary can read process memory

Note: users make this worse by reusing passwords across systems.

## Password Storage

- Want: a function $f$ such that...

1. easy to compute and store $f(p)$ for a password $p$
2. hard given disclosed $f(p)$ for attacker to recover $p$
3. hard to trick system by finding password q s.t. $q$ != $p$ yet $f(p)=$ f(q)

- Encryption would work, but then the key has to live somewhere
- Cryptographic hash functions work!
- one-way property gives (1) and (2)
- collision resistance gives (3)


## Hashed passwords

- Each user has:
- username uid
- password p
- System stores: uid, H(p)


## Exercise 3: Hashed Passwords

- Consider an alternative authentication protocol where user sends uid, $H(p)$ and the service compares $H(p)$ to the stored hash. Would this be more or less secure than sending the plaintext password? Why?


## Hashed passwords are still vulnerable

Assume: attacker does learn password file (offline guessing attack)

- Hard to invert: i.e., given $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p})$ to compute p
- But what if attacker didn't care about inverting hash on arbitrary inputs?
- i.e., only have to succeed on a small set of p's: p1, p2, ..., pn
- Then attacker could build a dictionary...


## Dictionary attacks

## Dictionary：

－p1，H（p1）
－p2，H（p2）
－pn，H（pn）

| © 711，477，622 | Onliner Spambot accounts $\triangle$ | （1） | 5，249 | Manga Traders a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 囯 593，427，119 | Exploit．In accounts（3） |  | 819478 | Pokémon Negro accounts Warriame accounts |
| 梀 457，962，538 | Anti Public Combo List | $\nabla$ | 300，15 | Onverse accou |
|  | accounts © |  | 790，724 | Brazzers account |
| © 393，430，309 | River City Media Spam List accounts $\uparrow$ | max int | 387 | Black Hat World accounts |
| mpaces59，420，698 | MySpace accounts | ＊ | 776，125 | andonia accou |
| \％ewe $234,842,089$ | NetEase accounts © |  | 745，355 | Adroid Forums acoout |
| in 164，611，595 | Linkedln accour | Whal | 738，556 | dStar accour |
| $\\|_{4} / 152,445,1$ | Adobe acca | malucz | 735，4 | L．cz acco |
| Oo112，005，531 | Badoo accour | Rusorem | 09， | PoliceO |
| －105，059，554 | B2B USA Businesses accounts $\otimes$ |  | 707，432 | Programming Forums accounts |
| S 93，388，602 | VK accounts | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {spu }}$ | 699，798 | py accounts |
| YOUKU 91，890，110 | Youku accounts | Comeseme | 660，305 | CrackingForum acco |
| Parcoup／91，436，280 | Rambler accoun | PokkElip | 657，001 | kébip ac |
| Notion 85，176，234 | Dailymotion accounts | $\%$ | 448，23 | accou |
| 目 80，115，532 | 2，844 Separate Data | ＝ | 637，340 | DaFont accounts |
|  | Breaches accounts © | $\pm$ | 620，677 | Final Fantasy Shrine accounts |
| 68，648，009 | Dropbox accounts | $\Delta B$ | 616，882 | Comcast account |

－Dictionary attack：lookup $H(p)$ in dictionary to find $p$
－And it works because most passwords chosen by humans are from a relatively small set

## Typical passwords

## [Schneier quoting AccessData in 2007]:

- 7-9 character root plus a 1-3 character appendage
- Root typically pronounceable, though not necessarily a real word
- Appendage is a suffix ( $90 \%$ ) or prefix ( $10 \%$ )
- Dictionary of 1000 roots plus 100 suffixes (= 100k passwords) cracks about 24\% of all passwords
- More sophisticated dictionaries crack about 60\% of passwords within 2-4 weeks
- Given biographical data (zip code, names, etc.) and other passwords of a user...
- success rate goes up a little
- time goes down to days or hours


## Salted hashed passwords

- Vulnerability: one dictionary suffices to attack every user
- Vulnerability: passwords chosen from small space
- Countermeasure: include a unique system-chosen value as part of each user's password


## Salted hashed passwords

- Each user has:
- username uid
- unique salt s
- password p
- System stores: uid, s, H(s, p)


## 3. PASSWORD USAGE

## Authenticating to a remote server

- Each user has:
- username uid
- unique salt s
- password p
- System stores: uid, s, H(s, p)

1. Hu->L: uid, $p$
2. L and S: establish secure channel
3. L->S: uid, $p$
4. S: let $h=$ stored hashed password for uid;
let $s=$ stored salt for uid;
if $h=H(s, p)$
then uid is authenticated

## Threat Model: Online Attack



- Adversary can interact with the server as a user

Online Banking


## When authentication fails

- Guiding principle: the system might be under attack, so don't make the attacker's job any easier
- Don't leak valid usernames:
- Prompt for username and password in parallel
- Don't reveal which was bad
- Record failed attempts and review
- Perhaps in automated way by administrators
- Perhaps manually by user at next successful login
- Lock account after too many attempts
- Rate limit login


## Rate limiting

- Vulnerability: hashes are easy to compute
- Countermeasure: hash functions that are slow to compute
- Slow hash wouldn't bother user: delay in logging hardly noticeable
- But would bother attacker constructing dictionary: delay multiplied by number of entries
- Ideally, enough to make constructing a large dictionary prohibitively expensive
- Examples: bcrypt, scrypt, Argon2,...


## Slowing down fast hashes

- Given a fast hash function...
- Slow it down by iterating it many times:
z1 $=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p})$;
z2 $=\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{z} 1)$;
z1000 = H(p, z999);
output z1 XOR z2 XOR ... XOR z1000
- Number of iterations is a parameter to control slowdown
- originally thousands
- current thinking is 10s of thousands
- Aka key stretching


## Salt and pepper

- Each user has:
- username uid
- unique salt s1
- unique pepper s2
- password p
- System stores: uid, s1, H(s1, s2, p)


## Password-Based Encryption

- PBKDF2: Password-based key derivation function [RFC 8018]
- Output: derived key k
- Input:
- Password p
- Salt s
- Iteration count c
- Key length len
- Pseudorandom function (PRF): "looks random" to an adversary that doesn't know an input called the seed (commony instantiated with an HMAC)


## 4. PASSWORD CHANGE

## Password change

## Motivated by...

- User forgets password (maybe just recover password)
- System forces password expiration
- Naively seems wise
- Research suggests otherwise
- Attacker learns password:
- Social engineering: deceitful techniques to manipulate a person into disclosing information
- Online guessing: attacker uses authentication interface to guess passwords
- Offline guessing: attacker acquires password database for system and attempts to crack it


## Change mechanisms

- Tend to be more vulnerable than the rest of the authentication system
- Not designed or tested as well
- Have to solve the authentication problem without the benefit of a password
- Two common mechanisms:
- Security questions
- Emailed reset


## Security questions

- Something you know: attributes of identity established at enrollment
- Pro: you are unlikely to forget answers
- Assumes: attacker is unlikely to be able to answer questions
- Con: might not resist targeted attacks
- Con: linking is a problem; same answers re-used in many systems


## Emailed reset

- Might be your old password, a new temporary password, or just a reset link
- one-time password: valid for single use only, maybe limited duration
- Assumes: attacker is unlikely to have compromised your email account
- Assumes: email service correctly authenticates you


## Password lifecycle

1. Create: user chooses password
2. Store: system stores password with user identifier
3. Use: user supplies password to authenticate
4. Change/recover/reset: user wants or needs to change password

## Beyond passwords?

- Passwords are tolerated or hated by users
- Passwords are plagued by security problems
- Can we do better?
- Criteria:
- Security
- Usability
- Deployability


## Schemes to replace passwords

- Graphical
- Cognitive
- Visual cryptography


## Schemes to improve passwords

- Password managers
- Single Sign-On
- Two-factor authentication


## Exercise 4: Authentication Examples

- Choose an example website (e.g., email provider, social network, or a payments app) and investigate how how they handle authentication.
- What are their restrictions on password selection? Do they support SSO? How do they handle recovery? Do they rely exclusively on passwords?


## Something you know



