

Adversarial Search

CS311
David Kauchak Spring 2013

## Admin

- Reading/book?
- Assignment 2
- On the web page
- 3 parts

- Anyone looking for a partner?
- Get started!
- Written assignments
- Make sure to look at them asap!
- Post next written assignment soon


## A quick review of search

Rational thinking via search - determine a plan of actions by searching from starting state to goal state

Uninformed search vs. informed search

- what's the difference?
- what are the techniques we've seen?
- pluses and minuses?

Heuristic design

- admissible?
- dominant?
Why should we study games?
Clear success criteria
Important historically for AI
Fun ©

| Good application of search |
| :--- |
| - hard problems (chess $35^{100}$ nodes in search tree, $10^{40}$ legal |
| states) |
| Some real-world problems fit this model |
| - game theory (economics) |
| - multi-agent problems |

## Types of games

What are some of the games you've played?

| Types of games: game properties |
| :--- |
| single-player vs. 2-player vs. multiplayer |
| Fully observable (perfect information) vs. partially |
| observable |
| Discrete vs. continuous |
| real-time vs. turn-based |
| deterministic vs. non-deterministic (chance) |

## Strategic thinking $\stackrel{?}{=}$ intelligence

For reasons previously stated, two-player games have been a focus of AI since its inception...

Begs the question: Is strategic thinking the same as intelligence?


## Strategic thinking $\stackrel{?}{=}$ intelligence

How could you figure out how humans approach playing chess?


How humans play games...
An experiment (by deGroot) was performed in which chess positions were shown to novice and expert players...
experts could reconstruct these perfectly - novice players did far worse...

Random chess positions (not legal ones) were then shown to the two groups
experts and novices did just as

- experts and novices did just as
badly at reconstructing them!




## Tic Tac Toe as search



How can we pose this as a search problem?



## Defining the problem

INITIAL STATE - board position and the player whose turn it is

SUCCESSOR FUNCTION- returns a list of (move, next state) pairs

TERMINAL TEST - is game over? Are we in a terminal state?

UTILITY FUNCTION - (objective or payoff func) gives a numeric
value for terminal states (ie - chess - win/lose/draw $+1 /-1 / 0$,
backgammon +192 to -192)




## Optimal Strategy

An Optimal Strategy is one that is at least as good as any other, no matter what the opponent does

- If there's a way to force the win, it will
- Will only lose if there's no other option

How can X play optimally?
Start from the leaves and propagate the utility up:

- if X's turn, pick the move that maximizes the utility
- if O's turn, pick the move that minimizes the utility



## Minimax Algorithm: An Optimal Strategy

```
minimax(state)}
```

    - if state is a terminal state
        Utility(state)
    - if MAX's turn
        return the maximum of minimax(...)
        on all successors of current state
    - if MIN's turn
        return the minimum of minimax (...)
        on all successors to current state
    - Uses recursion to compute the "value" of each state
- Proceeds to the leaves, then the values are "backed up" through the tree as the recursion unwinds
- What type of search is this?
- What does this assume about how MIN will play? What if this isn't true?

| Baby Nim |
| :---: |
| What move should I take? |
| Take 1 or 2 at each turn |
| Goal: take the last match |
|  |






## Properties of minimax

Pruning: do we have to traverse the whole tree?
Minimax is optimal!

Are we done?

- For chess, $b \approx 35, d \approx 100$ for reasonable games $\rightarrow$ exact solution completely infeasible
- Is minimax feasible for Mancala or Tic Tac Toe?
- Mancala: 6 possible moves. average depth of 40 , so $6^{40}$ which is on the edge
- Tic Tac Toe: branching factor of 4 (on average) and depth of 9 yes!


## Ideas?

- pruning!
- improved state utility/evaluation functions




## Alpha-Beta pruning

An optimal pruning strategy

- only prunes paths that are suboptimal (i.e. wouldn't be chosen by an optimal playing player)
- returns the same result as minimax, but faster

As we go, keep track of the best and worst along a path

- alpha = best choice we've found so far for MAX - beta = best choice we've found so far for MIN


## Alpha-Beta pruning

beta $=$ best choice we've found so far for MIN

## Using alpha and beta to prune:

- We're examining MAX's options for a ply
- To do this, we're examining all possible moves for MIN. If we find a value for one of MIN's possible moves that is greater than beta, return. (MIN won't end up down here)

MAX

MIN
 return if any > beta





| alpha = best choice we've found so far for MAX |
| :--- |
| beta = best choice we've found so far for MIN |


| def maxValue(state, alpha, beta): |
| :--- |
| if state is terminal: |
| return utility(state) |
| else: |
| value $=-\infty$ |
| for all actions a in actions(state): |
| value = max(value, minValue(result(state, a), alpha, beta) |
| if value >= beta: |
| return value \# prune! |
| alpha $=$ max(alpha, value) \# update alpha |

return value
We're making a decision for MAX.

- When considering MIN's choices, if we find a value that is greater
than beta, stop, because MIN won't make this choice
- if we find a better path than alpha, update alpha

| alpha = best choice we've found so far for MAX <br> beta = best choice we've found so far for MIN |
| :--- |
| def minValue(state, alpha, beta): |
| if state is terminal: |
| return utility(state) |
| else: |
| value $=+\infty$ |
| for all actions a in actions(state): |
| value $=$ min(value, maxValue(result(state, a), alpha, beta) |
| if value <= alpha: |
| return value \# prune! |
| beta $=$ min(beta, value) \# update alpha |


| Baby NIM2: take 1,2 or 3 sticks |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## Effectiveness of pruning

Notice that as we gain more information about the state of things, we're more likely to prune

What affects the performance of pruning?

- key: which order we visit the states
- can try and order them so as to improve pruning


## Effectiveness of pruning

If perfect state ordering:

- O(bm) becomes O(bl$\left.{ }^{m / 2}\right)$
- We can solve a tree twice as deep!

Random order:

- O(bm) becomes $O\left(b^{3 m / 4}\right)$
- still pretty good

For chess using a basic ordering - Within a factor of 2 of $O\left(b^{m / 2}\right)$

