Lecture 9: More Sorting



Spring 2018 Alexandra Papoutsaki & William Devanny

Assignment 3

- What to do when you want to sort data that cannot fit in memory of your computer?
 - On-disk sorting
- Break data into chunks that will fit in memory, sort chunks, copy into new files: 0.tempfile, 1.tempfile, ...
- Keep ArrayList of files
- Merge files together until one big sorted file.
- Note: You can't keep file open as both read and write!

Assignment 3 and Lab 3

- Read info on File I/O in Java and file systems in appendix to assignment.
- See on-line Streams cheat sheet
- Lab 3: More complexity/timing (sorting)

Review: Selection Sort

- Goal: sort an array of numbers in non-descending order
- Find smallest element, put it first, sort the rest
- Live code example

Selection Sort correctness

P(n): $\forall n \ge 0$, after running selectionSort(b,n), b[0..n] contains the n + 1 smallest elements sorted in non-descending order.

Base Case: i = 0

selectionSort skips the recursive call, finds the minimum element of array b, and puts that element in b[0]. So the one element array b[0..0] contains the 1st smallest element (and is trivially in non-descending order)

Induction Case: $\forall i > 0$, $P(i-1) \Rightarrow P(i)$

Since i > 0, the first thing selectionSort(b,i) does is recursively call selectionSort(b,i-1). By assumption, when that returns b[0..i-1] contains the *i* smallest elements sorted in non-descending order. selectionSort then finds the minimum element in b[i..] (which would have to be the (i + 1)th smallest element) and swaps it with the element currently in index *i*. So b[0..i] now contains the (i + 1) smallest elements of b and, since the first b[0..i-1] contains the *i* smallest, these i + 1 elements must be sorted in ascending order.

Selection Sort Complexity

To compute the running time of this algorithm, we need to count the number of comparisons in each recursive call

All of the comparison are in indexOfMin(b,i)

that makes n - i comparisons

So selectionSort makes n + (n-1) + ... + 2 + 1 comparisons

Selection sort takes time $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} = O(n^2)$

FastPower

fastPower(x, n) algorithm to calculate x^n :

- if n == 0 then return 1
- if *n* is even, return $fastPower(x^2, n/2)$
- if *n* is odd, return x * fastPower(x, n 1)

FastPower - Proof by strong induction

Base case: n = 0

- $x^k = 1$ and fastPower(x, 0) = 1
- Assume fastPower(x, j) is x^j for all $j \le k$.
- Show fastPower(x, k + 1) is x^{k+1}
- Case: k + 1 is even
 - $fastPower(x, k + 1) = fastPower(x, (k + 1)/2) = (x^2)^{(k+1)/2} = x^{k+1}$
- Case: k + 1 is odd
 - $fastPower(x, k + 1) = x * fastPower(x, k) = x * x^k = x^{k+1}$

Merge Sort

- Example of Divide & Conquer algorithm
 - Divide array in half
 - Sort each half
 - Merge halves together into completely sorted array
- Needs extra space (not in-place)
- Stable: two objects with equal keys appear in the same order in **sorted** output as they appear in the input unsorted array.

MergeSort

/**

}

- * MergeSort Sorts data >= low and < high</pre>
- * @param list data to be sorted
- * @param low start of the data to be sorted

```
* @param high end of the data to be sorted (exclusive)
*/
```

```
private void mergeSort(int[] data, int low, int high){
    if( high-low > 1 ){
        int mid = low + (high-low)/2;
        mergeSort(data, low, mid);
        mergeSort(data, mid, high);
        merge(data, low, mid, high);
    }
}
```

```
/** Merge data \geq low and < high into sorted data.
* Data \geq low and < mid are in sorted order.
* Data >= mid and < high are also in sorted order
*/
public void merge(int[] data, int low, int mid, int high){
// make temporary array temp of size high-low
int k = 0, i = low, j = mid;
while( i < mid && j < high ){</pre>
    if( data[i] <= data[j]){</pre>
       temp[k] = data[i];
       i++;
   }else{
       temp[k] = data[j];
       j++;
    }
    k++;
}
// copy over the remaining data on the low to mid side if there is some remaining.
// copy over the remaining data on the mid to high side if there is some remaining.
// Only one of these two while loops should actually execute
```

```
// copy the data back from \underline{temp} to array
```



Sort: 85 24 63 45 17 31 96 50 (whiteboard)

Correctness

- P(n): If high low = n then mergeSort(data, low, high) will result in data[low ...high] being correctly sorted
- For simplicity, assume *merge* is correct
- Assume P(k) for all k < n, show P(n)
- If n = 0 or 1 then (correctly) do nothing
- Assume n > 1
 - Call mergeSort(data, low, mid) and mergeSort(data, mid + 1, high)where mid = low + (high - low)/2.
 - Hence mid low < n, high (mid + 1) < n
 - By induction *data*[*low..mid*] and *data*[*mid* + 1..*high*] now sorted.
 - call merge(data, low, mid, high) and, by assumption on merge, data[low .. high] now sorted! Thus P(n) true.

Complexity

- Claim: mergeSort is $O(n \log n)$
 - where log is base 2
- Merge of two lists of combined size n takes $\leq n 1$ comparisons.



Complexity

- P(m): if data has 2^m elements then *mergesort* makes $< m * 2^m$ total comparisons.
- Assume P(k) for all $k < 2^m$. Prove P(m)
- P(0), P(1) clear. Show P(m)
- Sort first half, second half, and then merge
- Each half has size $\frac{2^m}{2} = 2^{m-1} < 2^m$, so by induction, each takes $< (m-1) * 2^{m-1}$ comparisons
- Therefore total number of comparisons in *mergesort* $< (m-1) * 2^{m-1} + (m-1) * 2^{m-1} + (2^m - 1)$ $= (m-1) * 2^m + (2^m - 1) = m * 2^m - 1 < m * 2^m$
- Thus P(m) is true
- If $n = 2^m$ then *mergeSort* takes $n \log n$ comparisons $(m = \log n)$.