Lecture 34: Concurrency & Graphs

CS 62

Spring 2018 Alexandra Papoutsaki & William Devanny

Some slides based on those from Dan Grossman, U. of Washington

Volatile

- Atomic action: effectively happens all at once
 - **X++** is not an atomic action!
- Java contains volatile keyword
- Changes to a volatile variable are always visible to other threads
- Accesses don't count as data races
- Implementation forces memory consistency
 - though slower!
- Really for experts -- better to use locks.

Lock granularity

- Coarse-grained: Fewer locks, i.e., more objects per lock
 - Example: One lock for entire data structure (e.g., array)
 - Example: One lock for all bank accounts
- Fine-grained: More locks, i.e., fewer objects per lock
 - Example: One lock per data element (e.g., array index)
 - Example: One lock per bank account
- "Coarse-grained vs. fine-grained" is really a continuum.

Granularity trade-offs

- Coarse-grained advantages:
 - Simpler to implement
 - Faster/easier to implement operations that access multiple locations (because all guarded by the same lock)
 - Much easier for operations that modify data-structure shape
- Fine-grained advantages:
 - More simultaneous access (performance when coarsegrained would lead to unnecessary blocking)
- *Guideline*: Start with coarse-grained (simpler) and move to finegrained (performance) only if contention on the coarser locks becomes an issue. Alas, often leads to bugse.

Critical-section granularity

- A second, orthogonal granularity issue is critical section size
 - How much work to do while holding lock(s)
- If critical sections run for too long:
 - Performance loss because other threads are blocked
- If critical sections are too short:
 - Bugs because you broke up something where other threads should not be able to see intermediate state
- Guideline: Don't do expensive computations or I/O in critical sections, but also don't introduce race conditions

Don't roll your own

- Most data structures provided in standard libraries
 - Point of lectures is to understand the key trade-offs and abstractions
- Especially true for concurrent data structures
 - Far too difficult to provide fine-grained synchronization without race conditions
 - tandard thread-safe libraries like ConcurrentHashMap written by world experts
- Guideline: Use built-in libraries whenever they meet your needs
 - e.g., Vector vs ArrayList. Vector is synchronized, ArrayList assumes program is thread-safe

Deadlock





}

class BankAccount {

```
synchronized void withdraw(int amt) { ... }
synchronized void deposit(int amt) { ... }
synchronized void transferTo(int amt, BankAccount a) {
this.withdraw(amt);
a.deposit(amt);
}
```

The deadlock

Suppose x and y are static fields holding accounts

Thread 1: x.transferTo(1,y)

acquire lock for x withdraw 1 from x

Thread 2: y.transferTo(1,x)

acquire lock for y withdraw 1 from y block on lock for x

block on lock for y

Deadlock in general

- A deadlock occurs when there are threads T_1, \dots, T_n such that:
 - For i = 1, ..., n 1, T_i is waiting for a resource held by T_{i+1}
 - T_n is waiting for a resource held by T_1
- In other words, there is a cycle of waiting
 - Can formalize as a graph of dependencies with cycles bad
- Deadlock avoidance in programming amounts to techniques to ensure a cycle can never arise

Back to our example

- Options for deadlock-proof transfer:
- 1. Make a smaller critical section: transferTo not synchronized
 - Exposes intermediate state after withdraw before deposit
 - May be okay here, but exposes wrong total amount in bank
- 2. Coarsen lock granularity: one lock for all accounts allowing transfers between them
 - Works, but sacrifices concurrent deposits/withdrawals
- 3. Give every bank-account a unique number and always acquire locks in the same order
 - Entire program should obey this order to avoid cycles
 - Code acquiring only one lock can ignore the order

Concurrency summary

- Concurrent programming allows multiple threads to access shared resources (e.g., hash table, work queue)
- Introduces new kinds of bugs:
 - Data races and Bad Interleavings
 - Deadlocks
- Requires synchronization
 - Locks for mutual exclusion
 - Other Synchronization Primitives
- Guidelines for correct use help avoid common pitfalls
- Shared Memory model is not only approach, but other approaches (e.g., message passing) are not painless either



Graphs

- Represent relationships that exist between pairs of objects
- Nothing to do with charts and function plots!
- Extremely versatile, can be used to represent many problems

The Graph ADT

A graph G = (V, E)

- *V* is a finite, non-empty set of vertices (or nodes)
- *E* is a binary relation on *V* (that is, *E* is a collection of edges that connects pairs of vertices)
- Edges are either *directed* or *undirected*

Applications

- transportation networks (flights, roads, etc.)
 - flights and flight patterns.
 - what sort of questions might we ask? What sort of application might we be interested in having a graph?
 - booking flights, picking shortest time? shortest distance?
 - airlines save fuel, number of people who use the route
- Google maps
 - driving directions, mapping out sightseeing

More Applications

- communications networks/utility networks
 - electrical grid, phone networks, computer networks
 - minimize cost for building infrastructure
 - minimize losses, route packets faster
- social networks
 - Does this person know that person.
 - Can this person introduce me to that person job opportunities

Undirected Graphs

Example: G = (V, E), where

- $V = \{A, B, C, D\}$
- $E = \{\{A, C\}, \{A, B\}, \{A, D\}, \{B, D\}\}$



Definitions for Undirected Graphs

- **subgraph**: is a subset of a graph's edges (and associated vertices) that constitutes a graph.
- **path**: a sequence of connected vertices.
 - **simple path** a path where all vertices occur only once.
- path length: number of edges in the path.
 - Example: path C-A-D-B has length 3.
- **cycle**: path of length ≥ 1 that begins and ends with the same vertex.
 - Example: path A-D-B-A is a cycle.
- **simple cycle**: a simple path that begins and ends with the same vertex.

More Definitions for Undirected Graphs

- **self loop**: Cycle consisting of one edge and one vertex.
- **adjacent vertices**: when connected by an edge.
- incident edge: the edge that is incident on two adjacent vertices
 - Edge (A,B) above is incident on adjacent vertices A and B
- **degree**: number of incident edges on a vertex.
- **simple graph**: a graph with no self loops.
- **acyclic graph**: a graph with no cycles.

Even More Definitions for Undirected Graphs

- **connected vertices**: if path that connects them exists
- **connected graph**: a graph where every pair of vertices is connected by a path.
- **tree**: acyclic connected graph
- **forest**: disjoint set of trees

Directed Graphs (Digraphs)

Example: G = (V, E), where

- $V = \{1, 3, 9, 13\}$
- $E = \{(1,3), (3,1), (13,1), (9,9)(9,13)\}$



Definitions for Digraphs

- **subgraph**: subset of a digraph's edges (and associated vertices) that constitutes a digraph.
- **path**: sequence of vertices with a (directed) edge pointing from each vertex to its successor
 - **simple path** a path where all vertices occur only once.
- **length**: number of edges in the path.
- cycle: directed path of length ≥ 1 that begins and ends with the same vertex.
 - **simple cycle**: a simple path that begins and ends with the same vertex.

More Definitions for Digraphs

- **self loop**: Cycle consisting of one edge and one vertex.
 - Example: 9
- **outdegree**: number of edges pointing from it.
- **indegree**: number of edges pointing to it.
- **directed acyclic graph (DAG)**: a digraph with no directed cycles.

Even More Definitions for Digraphs

- **reachable vertices**: when there is a directed path from one to another.
- strongly connected vertices: if mutually reachable
- **strongly connected digraph**: directed path from every vertex to every other vertex
- weakly connected graph: a digraph that would be connected if all of its directed edges were replaced by undirected edges.