Lecture 28: Parallelism II



Spring 2018 Alexandra Papoutsaki & William Devanny

Some slides based on those fom Dan Grossman, U. of Washington

Example behind Parallelism Idea

- Method to calculate sum of elements of an array
- Use 4 threads, which each sum 1/4 of the array
- Steps:
 - Create 4 thread objects, assigning each their portion of the work
 - Call start() on each thread object to actually run it
 - Wait for threads to finish
 - Add together their 4 answers for the final result



How to Create a Thread in Java

- 1. Define class C extends Thread
 - Override public void run()
 - Thread in java.lang
- 2. Create object of class C
- 3. Call that thread's start method
 - Creates new thread and starts executing **run** method.
 - Direct call of run won't work, similarly to the issue as paint-repaint.
- Alternatively, define class implementing **Runnable**, create thread with it as parameter, and send start message
 - Allows class to extend a different one.

First Attempt

}

```
class SumThread extends Thread{
        int lo, int hi, int[] arr
        int ans = 0; // for communicating result
        SumThread(int[] a, int l, int h) {
                 lo=l; hi=h; arr=a;}
        public void run(){
                 for(int i=lo; i < hi; i++) ans += arr[i];
}
//some other class
static int sum(int[] arr){
        int len = arr.length;
        int ans = 0;
        SumThread[] ts = new SumThread[4];
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++){// do parallel computations</pre>
                 ts[i] = new SumThread(arr,i*len/4,(i+1)*len/4);
                ts[i].start(); // use start not run
                                                                 Does not wait for helper threads to
        }
                                                                 finish before it sums the ans fields
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++)// combine results</pre>
                ans += ts[i].ans;
        return ans;
```

```
(Semi) Correct Version
```

```
class SumThread extends Thread {
       int lo, int hi, int[] arr
       int ans = 0;
       SumThread(int[] a, int l, int h) { ... }
       public void run(){ ... }
}
//some other class
static int sum(int[] arr){
       int len = arr.length;
       int ans = 0;
       SumThread[] ts = new SumThread[4];
       for(int i=0; i < 4; i++){</pre>
               ts[i] = new SumThread(arr,i*len/4,(i+1)*len/4);
ts[i].start():
                                                                       Needs to be within a
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++){</pre>
                                                                       try/catch block
               ts[i].join(); // wait for helpers to finish!
               ans += ts[i].ans;
       }
       return ans;
```

Thread class methods

- void start(), which calls void run()
- void join() which blocks until receiver thread is done
 - Style called fork/join parallelism
 - It needs a try-catch around join as it can throw InterruptedException
- Some memory sharing:
 - lo, hi, arr fields written by "main" thread, read by helper thread
 - ans field written by helper thread, read by "main" thread
- Later, we will learn how to protect data (race conditions) using synchronized

Great, right? Actually, no!

- If we time it, it's slower than sequential!!
- We want out code to be reusable and efficient as core count grows ("forward-portable").
 - At minimum, make #threads a parameter (e.g., in the sum method)
- Want to effectively use processors available *now*
 - Not being used by other programs or threads in your program
 - Can change while your threads running

Problem

- Suppose we have a computer with 4 processors and a problem of size n
 - We can solve the problem with 3 processors, each taking time t on $\frac{n}{3}$ elements.
- Suppose linear in size problem:
 - We want to use all 4 processors, but one is busy playing music
 - First 3 threads run, but 4th waits
 - First 3 threads scheduled and take time $\left(\frac{\frac{n}{4}}{\frac{n}{2}}\right) * t = \frac{3}{4}t$
 - After first 3 are finished, run 4^{th} which takes another $\frac{3}{4}t$
 - Total time ends up $\frac{3}{4}t + \frac{3}{4}t = 1.5t$
 - Runs 50% slower than with 3 threads!

More problems

- Subproblems can take significantly different amounts of time
 - Apply method f to every array element, but maybe f is much slower for some data items. e.g., is a large integer prime?
 - If unlucky, all slow operations may be assigned to the same thread
 - Certainly, won't see *n* speedup with *n* threads
 - May be much worse, due to *load imbalance*

Toward a solution

- To avoid having to wait too long for any one thread, instead create lots of threads, far more than #cores
- Schedule threads as processors become available.
- If a thread is very slow, many others will get scheduled on other processors while that one runs.
- Will work well if the slow thread is scheduled relatively early

Divide and Conquer

- 1. Divide problem into pieces recursively:
 - Start with full problem at root Halve and make new thread until size is at some cutoff
- 2. Combine answers in pairs as we return from recursion
- If have *numProc* processors then total time $O(\frac{n}{numProc} + \log n)$



In practice

- Creating so many threads and synchronizing their communication swamps savings
- Instead, use sequential cutoff about 500-1000
 - Eliminates almost all the recursive thread creation (bottom levels of tree)
 - Exactly like quicksort switching to insertion sort for small subproblems, but more important here
- Don't create two recursive threads: create one thread and do the other piece of work "yourself"
 - Cuts number of threads in half

ForkJoin Framework to the rescue

- Java's threads are too heavyweight
- ForkJoin Framework addresses the need for divide-andconquer fork-join parallel programming
- Part of Java 7

Java Threads VS ForkJoin

- Create a ForkJoinPool
- Don't subclass Thread → Subclass RecursiveTask<V>
- Don't override run \rightarrow Do override compute
- Do not use an ans field \rightarrow Do return a V from compute
- Don't call start \rightarrow Do call fork
- Call join that returns answer
- To optimize, call compute instead of fork (rather than run

Getting good results in practice

- Sequential threshold
 - Library documentation recommends doing approximately 100-5000 basic operations in each "piece" of your algorithm
- Library needs to "warm up" May see slow results before the Java virtual machine reoptimizes the library internals
- Wait until your computer has more processors
 - Seriously, overhead may dominate at 4 processors, but parallel programming is likely to become much more important

Examples

- Maximum or minimum element
- Is there an element satisfying some property (e.g., is there a 47)?
- Left-most element satisfying some property (e.g., first 47)
- Smallest rectangle encompassing a number of points
- Counts; for example, number of strings that start with a vowel
- Are these elements in sorted order?
- Create a Histogram of test results from a much larger array of actual test results

CPU vs GPU

From Mythbusters:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-P28LKWTzrl&feature=youtu.be

In a bit more detail:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1kypaBjJ-pg