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## Lecture 31-32: Hash tables

- Hash functions
- Separate chaining
- Linear Probing

Some slides adopted from Algorithms 4th Edition or COS226

## Summary for symbol table operations

|  | Worst case |  |  | Average case |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Search | Insert | Delete | Search | Insert | Delete |
| Sequential <br> search <br> (unordered | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n / 2$ | $n$ | $n / 2$ |
| Binary search <br> (ordered <br> array) | $\log n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $\log n$ | $n / 2$ | $n / 2$ |
| BST | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $1.39 \log n$ | $1.39 \log n$ | $?$ |
| 2-3 search <br> tree | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ |
| Red-black <br> BSTs | $2 \log n$ | $2 \log n$ | $2 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ |

## Basic plan for hashing

- Save items in a key-indexed table (index is a function of the key).
- Hash function: Method for computing array index from key.
" hash("A") $=2$
' hash("B") = 2 ???
, Issues:
- Computing the hash function.
- Method for checking whether two keys are equal.
- How to handle collisions when two keys hash to same index.
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- If no time limitation: collision resolution with sequential search.
- If space and time limitation (real world): hashing


## Computing hash function

" Ideal scenario: Take any key and uniformly "scramble" it to produce a symbol table index.

- Requirements:
- Computing the hash function efficiently.
- Every symbol table index is equally likely for each key.
- Although thoroughly researched, still problematic in practical applications.
- Examples: Hashing phone numbers or social security numbers.
- Bad: if we choose the first three digits (area code/geographic region and time).
- Better: if we choose the last three digits.
- Practical challenge: Need different approach for each key type.


## Hashing in Java

- All Java classes inherit a method hashCode(), which returns an integer.
- Requirement: If $x$. equals $(y)$ then it should be x.hashCode()==y.hashCode().
- Ideally: If !x.equals(y) then it should be x.hashCode()!=y.hashCode().
- Default implementation: Memory address of $x$.
- Need to override it for custom types.
- Already done for us for Integer, Double, etc.


## Equality test in Java

- Requirement: For any objects $x, y$, and $z$.
- Reflexive: $x . e q u a l s(x)$ is true.
- Symmetric: x.equals(y) iff y.equals(x).
- Transitive: if $x$.equals $(y)$ and $y . e q u a l s(z)$ then $x . e q u a l s(z)$.
, Non-null: if $x$.equals(null) is false.
- If you don't override it the default implementation checks whether $x$ and $y$ refer to the same object in memory.


## Java implementations of equals() for user-defined types

, public final class Date \{ private final int month; private final int day; private final int year;
public boolean equals(Object y) \{
if (y == this) return true;
if (y == null) return false;
if (y.getClass() != this.getClass()) return false;
Date that = (Date) y;
return (this.day == that.day \&\&
this.month == that.month \&\&
this.year == that.year);
\}
\}

## General equality test recipe in Java

- Optimization for reference equality.
- if ( $y==$ this) return true;
- Check against null.
- if ( $y==$ null) return false;
- Check that two objects are of the same type.
, if (y.getClass() != this.getClass()) return false;
- Cast them.
- Date that $=($ Date $) \mathrm{y}$;
- Compare each significant field.
- return (this.day == that.day \&\& this.month == that.month \&\& this.year == that.year);
- If a field is a primitive type, use $==$.
- If a field is an object, use equals().
- If field is an array of primitives, use Arrays.equals().
- If field is an area of objects, use Arrays .deepEquals().


## Java implementations of hashCode()

```
p public final class Integer {
        private final int value;
        public int hashCode() {
                        return (value);
        }
    }
p public final class Boolean {
    private final boolean value;
    ..
        public int hashCode() {
            if(value) return 1231;
            else return 1237;
        }
    }
```


## Implementing hash code for arrays

- 31x+y rule.
- Initialize hash to 1.
- Repeatedly multiply hash by 31 and add next integer in array.
- public class Arrays \{
public static int hashCode(int[] a) \{
int hash = 1;
for (int $i=0 ; i<a . l e n g t h ; i++$ ) \{
hash = 31*hash + a[i];
return hash;
\}
\}


## Implementing hash code for strings

- Treat a string as an array of characters.
- Initialize hash to 0.
p public final class String \{
private final char[] s;
private int hash = 0;
...
public int hashCode() \{
int h = hash;
if (h ! = 0) return h;
for (int $i=0 ; i<$ length; $i++$ ) \{
$h=s[i]+\left(31{ }^{*} h\right) ;$
hash $=\mathrm{h}$;
return h;
\}
\}
- Not foolproof, e.g., both Aa and BB hash to 2112. Actually, $2^{n}$ strings of length $2 n$ hash to the same value!


## Java implementations of hashCode() for user-defined types

, public final class Date \{
private final int month;
private final int day;
private final int year;
public int hashCode() \{
int hash = 1; hash $=31 *$ hash + ((Integer) month).hashCode(); hash $=31 *$ hash + ((Integer) day).hashCode(); hash $=31 *$ hash + ((Integer) year).hashCode(); return hash;
//could be also written as //return Objects.hash(month, day, year);
\}

General hash code recipe in Java

- Combine each significant field using the $31 x+y$ rule.
- Shortcut 1: use Objects. hash() for all fields (except arrays).
- Shortcut 2: use Arrays .hashCode() for primitive arrays.
- Shortcut 3: use Arrays. deepHashCode() for object arrays.


## Modular hashing

- Hash code: an int between $-2^{31}$ and $2^{31}-1$
- Hash function: an int between 0 and $m-1$, where $m$ is the hash table size (typically a prime number or power of 2).
- private int hash (Key key)\{
return key.hashCode() \% m;
\}
Bug! Might map to negative number.
p private int hash (Key key)\{
return Math.abs(key.hashCode()) \% m;
\}
- Very unlikely bug. For a hash code of $-2^{31}$, Math. abs will return a negative number.
p private int hash (Key key)\{
return (key.hashCode() \& 0x7fffffff) \% m;
\}
Correct.


## Uniform hashing assumption

- Uniform hashing assumption: Each key is equally likely to hash to an integer between 0 and $m-1$.
- Mathematical model: balls \& bins. Toss $n$ balls uniformly at random into $m$ bins.
- Bad news: Expect two balls in the same bin after $\sim \sqrt{( } \pi m / 2)$ tosses.
- Birthday problem: In a random group of 23 or more people, more likely than not that two people will share the same birthday.
, Good news: load balancing
- When $n=m$, expect most loaded bin has $\sim \ln m / \ln \ln n$ balls.
- When $n \gg m$, the number of balls in each bin is "likely close" to $n / m$.


## Lecture 31-32: Hash tables

- Hash functions
- Separate chaining
- Linear Probing

Collisions are unavoidable

- Collision: Two distinct keys hash to the same index.
- Birthday problem: Can't avoid collisions (unless you have at least quadratic memory).
- Coupon collector + load balancing: collisions will be evenly distributed.
- Challenge: how to deal with collisions efficiently.

" hash("A") $=2$
" hash("B") = 2 ???


## Separate Chaining

- Use an array of $m<n$ distinct lists [H.P. Luhn, IBM 1953].
- Hash: Map key to integer $i$ between 0 and $m-1$.
- Insert: Put at front of i-th chain (if not already there).
( Search: Need to only search the i-th chain.
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## Symbol table with separate chaining implementation

```
public class SeparateChainingLiteHashST<Key, Value> {
private int m = 128; // hash table size
private Node[] st = new Node[m];
// array of linked-list symbol tables. Node is inner class that holds keys and values of type Object
public Value get(Key key) {
    int i = hash(key);
    for (Node x = st[i]; x != null; x = x.next;)
        if (key.equals(x.key)) return (Value) x.val;
    return null;
}
public void put(Key key, Value val) {
    int i = hash(key);
    for (Node x = st[i]; x != null; x = x.next;)
        if (key.equals(x.key)) {
            x.val = val;
            return;
    }
    st[i] = new Node(key, val, st[i];
}
```


## Analysis

- Under uniform hashing assumption, length of each chain is $\sim n / m$.
- Consequence: Number of probes (calls to either equals() or hashCode()) for search/insert is proportional to $n / m$ ( $m$ times faster than sequential search).
- $m$ too large -> too many empty chains.
- $m$ too small -> chains too long.
- Typical choice: $m \sim 1 / 4 n->$ constant time per operation.

Resizing in a separate-chaining hash table
, Goal: Average length of chain $n / m=$ constant lookup.

- Double hash table size when $n / m \geq 8$.
- Halve hash table size when $n / m \leq 2$.
- Need to rehash all keys when resizing (hash code does not change, but hash changes).


## Deletion in a separate-chaining hash table

- Find key in chain and remove it along with its associated value.


## Summary for symbol table operations

|  | Worst case |  |  | Average case |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Search | Insert | Delete | Search | Insert | Delete |
| Sequential <br> search <br> (unordered list) | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n / 2$ | $n$ | $n / 2$ |
| Binary search <br> (ordered array) | $\log n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $\log n$ | $n / 2$ | $n / 2$ |
| BST | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $1.39 \log n$ | $1.39 \log n$ | $?$ |
| 2-3 search tree | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ |
| Red-black BSTs | $2 \log n$ | $2 \log n$ | $2 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ |
| Separate <br> chaining | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $3-5$ | $3-5$ | $3-5$ |

## Lecture 31-32: Hash tables

- Hash functions
- Separate chaining
- Linear Probing


## Open addressing

- Alternate approach to handle collisions.
- Maintain keys and values in two parallel arrays.
- When a new key collides, find next empty slot and put it there.
- If the array is full, the search would not terminate.


## Linear probing

- Hash: Map key to integer $i$ between 0 and $m-1$.
- Insert: Put at index $i$ if free. If not, try $i+1, i+2$, etc.
- Search: Search table index $i$. If occupied but no match, try $i+1, i+2$, etc
- If you find a gap then you know that it does not exist.
- Table size $m$ must be greater than the number of key-value pairs $n$.


### 3.4 Linear Probing Demo

Robert Sedgewick I Kevin Wayne

http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu

## Linear probing

| key | hash | value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | 6 | 0 |
| E | 10 | 1 |
| A | 4 | 2 |
| R | 14 | 3 |
| C | 5 | 4 |
| H | 4 | 5 |
| E | 10 | 6 |
| X | 15 | 7 |
| A | 4 | 8 |
| M | 1 | 9 |
| P | 14 | 10 |
| L | 6 | 11 |
| E | 10 | 12 |



## Symbol table with linear probing implementation

```
public class LinearProbingHashST<Key, Value> {
    private int m = 32768; // hash table size
    private Value[] Vals = (Value[]) new Object[m];
    private Key[] Vals = (Key[]) new Object[m];
    public Value get(Key key) {
        for (int i = hash(key); keys[i] != null; i = (i+1) % m;)
            if (key.equals(keys[i])) return vals[i];
        return null;
}
    public void put(Key key, Value val) {
        int i;
        for (int i = hash(key); keys[i] != null; i = (i+1) % m;)
            if (key.equals(keys[i])){
                break;
        }
        keys[i] = key;
        vals[i] = val;
}
```


## Clustering

- Cluster: a contiguous block of keys.
- Observation: new keys likely to hash in middle of big clusters.


## Analysis

- Proposition: Under uniform hashing assumption, the average number of probes in a linearprobing hash table of size $m$ that contains $n=\alpha m$ keys is at most
- $1 / 2\left(1+\frac{1}{1-a}\right)$ for search hits and
, $1 / 2\left(1+\frac{1}{(1-a)^{2}}\right)$ for search misses and insertions.
- [Knuth 1963]
- Parameters:
- $m$ too large -> too many empty array entries.
- $m$ too small -> search time becomes too long.
- Typical choice: $\alpha=n / m \sim 1 / 2$-> constant time per operation.


## Resizing in a linear probing hash table

- Goal: Fullness of array (load factor) $n / m \leq 1 / 2$.
(Double hash table size when $n / m \geq 1 / 2$.
- Halve hash table size when $n / m \leq 1 / 8$.
- Need to rehash all keys when resizing (hash code does not change, but hash changes).
- Deletion not straightforward.


## Summary for symbol table operations

|  | Worst case |  |  |  | Average case |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Search | Insert | Delete | Search | Insert | Delete |  |
| Sequential <br> search <br> (unordered list) | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n / 2$ | $n$ | $n / 2$ |  |
| Binary search <br> (ordered array) | $\log n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $\log n$ | $n / 2$ | $n / 2$ |  |
| BST | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $1.39 \log n$ | $1.39 \log n$ | $?$ |  |
| 2-3 search tree | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ | $c \log n$ |  |
| Red-black BSTs | $2 \log n$ | $2 \log n$ | $2 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ | $1 \log n$ |  |
| Separate <br> chaining | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $3-5$ | $3-5$ | $3-5$ |  |
| Linear probing | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $3-5$ | $3-5$ | $3-5$ |  |

## Separate chaining vs linear probing

- Separate chaining:
- Performance degrades gracefully as number of keys increases.
- Clustering less sensitive to poorly-designed hash function.
- Potentially fewer probes.
- Linear probing:
- Less wasted space.
- Better cache performance (locality).


## Hashing: variations on the theme

- Two-probe hashing (separate chaining variant):
- Hash to two positions, insert key in shorter of the two chains.
- Reduces expected length of longest chain to $\log \log n$.
- Double hashing (linear probing variant):
- Use linear probing, but skip a variable amount, not just 1 each time you have collision.
- Effectively eliminates clustering.
- Can allow table to become nearly full.
- More difficult to implement delete
- Cuckoo hashing (linear probing variant):
- Hash to two positions, insert key into either position. If occupied, reinsert displayed key into its alternative position and recur.
- Constant worst case time for search.


## Hash tables vs balanced search trees

- Hash tables:
- Simpler to code.
- No effective alternative of unordered keys.
- Faster for simple keys (a few arithmetic operations versus $\log n$ compares).
- Balanced search trees:
- Stronger performance guarantee.
- Support for ordered symbol table operations.
- Easier to implement compareTo() than hashCode().
- Java includes both:
, Balanced search trees: java.util.TreeMap, java.util.TreeSet.
, Hash tables: java.util.HashMap, java.util.IdentityHashMap.


## Lecture 31-32: Hash tables

- Hash functions
- Separate chaining
- Linear Probing


## Readings:

- Textbook: Chapter 3.4 (Pages 458-477)
- Website:
- https://algs4.cs.princeton.edu/34hash/


## Practice Problems:

( 3.4.1-3.4.13

