

Lecture 9: Merge Sort & Correctness

CS 62
Fall 2017
Kim Bruce & Alexandra Papoutsaki

Assignment 3

- On-disk sorting: What to do when more data than can fit in memory of computer?
 - Break into chunks that will fit in memory, sort chunks, and copy into new files: o.tempfile, i.tempfile, ...
 - Keep ArrayList of files
 - Merge files together until one big sorted file.
 - Note can't keep file open as both read and write!

Assignment 3

- Read info on File I/O in Java and file systems in appendix to assignment. See on-line Streams cheat sheet!
- Lab 3: More complexity/timing (sorting)

Review: Selection Sort

- Find largest element, put it last, sort the rest!
- More carefully: To sort array[o..n-1]:
 - if n > 0:
 - Swap largest element with array[n-1]
 - Recursively sort array[o..n-2]
- Complexity: $O(n^2)$
- Correctness

Merge Sort

- Example of Divide & Conquer algorithm:
 - Divide array in half
 - Sort each half
 - Merge halves together into completely sorted array
 - See code on line

Correctness

- Course-of-values induction:
 - P(n): If $high - low = n$ then `sortHelper(data,low,high)` will result in `data[low .. high]` being correctly sorted
 - For simplicity, assume merge is correct
 - Assume P(k) for all $k < n$, show P(n).
 - If $n = 0$ or 1 then (correctly) do nothing. Assume $n > 1$
 - Call `sortHelper(data, low, mid)` and `sortHelper(data, mid+1, high)`
 - where $mid = low + (high - low)/2$.
 - Hence $mid - low < n$, $high - (mid+1) < n$. *Convince yourself!!*
 - By induction `data[low..mid]` and `data[mid+1 .. high]` now sorted.
 - call `merge(data, low, mid, high)` and, by assumption on merge, `data[low .. high]` now sorted! Thus P(n) true.

Complexity

- Claim mergeSort is $O(n \log n)$
 - where log is base 2
- Intuitive proof
- Careful proof by induction (assuming n is a power of 2, e.g. $n = 2^m$) on board.
- Note: merge of two lists of combined size n takes $\leq n - 1$ compares.

When we write $\log n$ in CS, we mean $\log_2 n$

Complexity

- P(m): if data has 2^m elements then mergesort makes $< m * 2^m$ comparisons of elements.
- Assume P(k) for all $k < 2^m$. Prove P(m)
 - P(0), P(1) clear
 - Show P(m),
 - Sort first half, second half, and then merge
 - size $2^m / 2 = 2^{m-1} < 2^m$, so by induction, each takes $< (m-1) * 2^{m-1}$ comparisons
 - Therefore comparisons $< (m-1) * 2^{m-1} + (m-1) * 2^{m-1} + (2^m - 1)$

Finish Algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Compares} &< (m-1) * 2^{m-1} + (m-1) * 2^{m-1} + (2^m - 1) \\ &= (2m) * 2^{m-1} - 1 \\ &= m * 2^m - 1 \\ &< m * 2^m \end{aligned}$$

Thus $P(m)$ true. Note if $n = 2^m$ then merge sort takes $(\log n) * n$ comparisons because $m = \log n$.
Easier to write as $n * (\log n)$

Binary Search

- If time:
 - Search for element in sorted list
 - Linear search is $O(?)$
 - Binary search is $O(?)$