Perceptron Learning

Dave Kauchak Alexandra Papoutsaki Zilong Ye CSCI 051A Spring 2022

Artificial Neural Networks





W is the strength of signal sent between A and B.

If A fires and w is **positive**, then A **stimulates** B.

If A fires and w is **negative**, then A **inhibits** B.

A Single Neuron/Perceptron



Training neural networks





- start with some initial weights and thresholds
- show examples repeatedly to NN
- update weights/thresholds by comparing NN output to actual output

Perceptron learning algorithm

repeat until you get all examples right:

for each "training" example:
calculate current prediction on example
if wrong:
update weights and threshold towards getting this example correct



Perceptron learning









Perceptron update rule

Dupdate weights and threshold towards getting this example correct



$$w_i = w_i + \Delta w_i$$

 $\Delta w_i = \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$









We're over the threshold, so want to decrease weights: actual - predicted = -1



What does this do?





What does this do?



"learning rate": value between 0 and 1 (e.g., 0.1) adjusts how abrupt the changes are to the model



What about the threshold?





Perceptron learning algorithm

initialize weights of the model randomly

repeat until you get all examples right:

for each "training" example (*in a random order*):
calculate current prediction on the example
if *wrong*:

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$

X 1	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

initialize with random weights



X 1	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1



X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Right or wrong?

X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



new weights?

X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$

decrease (0-1=-1) all non-zero x_i by 0.1



X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$

decrease (0-1=-1) all non-zero x_i by 0.1



X 1	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Right or wrong?

X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Right or wrong?

x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



new weights?

x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



decrease (0-1=-1) all non-zero x_i by 0.1

x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Right or wrong?

x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Right. No update!

x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Right or wrong?

X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



decrease (0-1=-1) all non-zero x_i by 0.1

X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Are they all right?

x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Wrong

X ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



decrease (0-1=-1) all non-zero x_i by 0.1

x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



Are they all right?

x ₁	x ₂	x_1 and x_2
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

 $w_i = w_i + \lambda * (actual - predicted) * x_i$



We've learned AND!

Perceptron learning

A few missing details, but not much more than this

Keeps adjusting weights as long as it makes mistakes

If the training data is linearly separable, the perceptron learning algorithm is guaranteed to converge to the "correct" solution (where it gets all examples right)