

## Admin

Assignment 3 out today: due next Wednesday

Quiz

## Context free grammar

Formally...
$G=(N T, T, P, S)$

NT: finite set of nonterminal symbols

T: finite set of terminal symbols, NT and T are disioint
left hand side right hand side
(single symbol) (one or more symbols)
$P$ : finite set of productions of the form
$A \rightarrow \alpha, A \in N T$ and $\alpha \in(T \cup N T)^{*}$
$S \in N T$ : start symbol
CFG: Example
Many possible CFGs for English, here is an example
(fragment):
$\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{NP}$ VP
$\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VNP}$
$\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow$ DetP $\mathrm{N} \mid \operatorname{DetP~AdiP~} \mathrm{N}$
AdiP $\rightarrow$ Adi | Adv AdiP
$\mathrm{N} \rightarrow$ boy | girl
$\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ sees | likes
Adi $\rightarrow$ big | small
Adv $\rightarrow$ very
DetP $\rightarrow$ a | the

| Derivations in a CFG |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & S \rightarrow \text { NP VP } \\ & \mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VNP} \\ & \mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \text { DetP } N \mid \text { DetP AdjP N } \\ & \text { AdjP } \rightarrow \text { Adi \| Adv AdiP } \\ & \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \text { boy \| girl } \\ & \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \text { sees \| likes } \\ & \text { Adi } \rightarrow \text { big \| small } \\ & \text { Adv } \rightarrow \text { very } \\ & \text { DetP } \rightarrow \text { a \| the } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ <br> What can we do? |


|  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |


| Derivations in | $G$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{NP}$ VP |  |
| $\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VNP}$ |  |
| $N P \rightarrow \operatorname{DetP} N \mid \operatorname{DetP} \operatorname{AdjP} N$ AdjP $\rightarrow$ Adi \| Adv AdjP | NP VP |
| $N \rightarrow$ boy \| girl |  |
| $\vee \rightarrow$ sees \| likes |  |
| Adj $\rightarrow$ big \| small Adv $\rightarrow$ very | What can we do? |
| $\operatorname{DetP} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \mid$ the |  |



| Derivations in a CFG |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{NP} \text { VP } \\ & \mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VNP} \\ & \mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \text { DetP } \mathrm{N} \mid \operatorname{DetP} \text { AdiP } N \\ & \text { AdiP } \rightarrow \text { Adj \| Adv AdiP } \\ & \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \text { boy \| girl } \\ & \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \text { sees \| likes } \\ & \text { Adi } \rightarrow \text { big \| small } \\ & \text { Adv } \rightarrow \text { very } \\ & \text { DetP } \rightarrow \text { a } \mid \text { the } \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{DetP}$ N VP |





| Derivations in a CFG |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & S \rightarrow \text { NP VP } \\ & \mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \text { V NP } \\ & \mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \text { DetP } \mathrm{N} \mid \operatorname{DetP} \text { Adip } N \\ & \text { AdiP } \rightarrow \text { Adj \| Adv AdiP } \\ & \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \text { boy \| girl } \\ & \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \text { sees \| likes } \\ & \text { Adi } \rightarrow \text { big \| small } \\ & \text { Adv } \rightarrow \text { very } \\ & \text { DetP } \rightarrow \text { a } \mid \text { the } \end{aligned}$ | the boy likes a girl |


| Derivations in a CFG; Order of Derivation Irrelevant |
| :---: |
| $S \rightarrow N P V P$ <br> $\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VNP}$ <br> NP $\rightarrow$ DetP N \| DetP AdjP N <br> AdjP $\rightarrow$ Adj I Adv AdjP <br> $N \rightarrow$ boy \| girl <br> $\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ sees \| likes <br> Adj $\rightarrow$ big $\mid$ small <br> Adv $\rightarrow$ very <br> $\operatorname{Det} P \rightarrow a \mid$ the <br> the boy likes a girl |

String rewriting system: we derive a string

| Parsing |
| :--- |
| Parsing is the field of NLP interested in |
| automatically determining the syntactic structure of |
| a sentence |
| Parsing can be thought of as determining what |
| sentences are "valid" English sentences |
| As a byproduct, we often can get the structure |


| Parsing |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Given a CFG and a sentence, determine the possible parse tree(s) |  |
|  | \| eat sushi with tuna |
| $N P->N$ <br> NP $->$ PRP <br> NP -> N PP <br> What parse trees are possible for this <br> VP ->VNP sentence? |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { VP ->vNP PP } \\ & \text { PP }->\operatorname{INN} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| PRP $\rightarrow 1$ | How did you do it? |
| V $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} \rightarrow \text { eat } \\ & \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \text { sushi } \\ & \mathrm{N}\end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | What if the grammar is much larger? |

Parsing
Parsing ambiguity



| Parsing problems |
| :--- |
| Pick a model |
| $\square$ e.g. CFG, PCFG, ... |
| Train (or learn) a model |
| $\quad \square$ What CFG/PCFG rules should I use? |
| $\quad$ Parameters (e.g. PCFG probabilities)? |
| $\square$ What kind of data do we have? |
| Parsing |
| $\square$ Determine the parse tree(s) given a sentence |



## PCFG: Training

If we have example parsed sentences, how can we learn a set of PCFGs?


## Estimating PCFG Probabilities

We can extract the rules from the trees

| $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{NP}$ VP | S | $\rightarrow \mathrm{NP}$ VP | 1.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \mathrm{PRP}$ | VP | $\rightarrow \mathrm{V} \mathrm{NP}$ | 0.7 |
| $\mathrm{PRP} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VP}$ PP | 0.3 |  |
| $\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VNP}$ | $\mathrm{PP} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}$ NP | 1.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{~V} \rightarrow$ eat | P | $\rightarrow$ with | 1.0 |
| $\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow$ N PP | V | $\rightarrow$ saw | 1.0 |

How do we go from the extracted CFG rules to PCFG rules?

| Estimating PCFG Probabilities |
| :--- |
| Extract the rules from the trees |
| Calculate the probabilities using MLE |
| $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad p(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \mid \alpha)$ |
| $P(\alpha \rightarrow \beta \mid \alpha)=\frac{\operatorname{count}(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)}{\sum_{\gamma}^{\operatorname{count}(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)}}=\frac{\operatorname{count}(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)}{\operatorname{count}(\alpha)}$ |


| Grammar Equivalence |
| :--- |
| What does it mean for two grammars to be equal? |
|  |

## Estimating PCFG Probabilities

## Occurrences

$\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{NP}$ VP 10
$S \rightarrow V N P \quad 3$
$\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{VPPP} \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \vee \mathrm{NP})=$ ?
$N P \rightarrow N \quad 7$
$N P \rightarrow N P P \quad 3$
$N P \rightarrow$ DT N 6
$P(S \rightarrow V N P)=P(S \rightarrow V N P \mid S)=\frac{\operatorname{count}(S \rightarrow V N P)}{\operatorname{count}(S)}=\frac{3}{15}$

| Grammar Equivalence |
| :---: |
| Weak equivalence: grammars generate the same set of strings Grammar 1: NP $\rightarrow \operatorname{DetP~} \mathrm{N}$ and $\operatorname{DetP} \rightarrow \mathrm{a} \mid$ the Grammar 2: $\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \mathrm{aN} \mid$ the N |
| Strong equivalence: grammars have the same set of derivation trees With CFGs, possible only with useless rules Grammar 2: $\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow a \mathrm{~N} \mid$ the N Grammar 3: NP $\rightarrow$ a $N \mid$ the $N, \operatorname{DetP} \rightarrow$ many |

## Normal Forms

There are weakly equivalent normal forms (Chomsky
Normal Form, Greibach Normal Form)

A CFG is in Chomsky Normal Form (CNF) if all productions are of one of two forms:

$$
\square A \rightarrow B C \text { with } A, B, C \text { nonterminals }
$$

$\square A \rightarrow a$, with $A$ a nonterminal and a a terminal

Every CFG has a weakly equivalent CFG in CNF

| CNF Grammar |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S -> VP } \\ & \text { VP -> VB NP } \\ & \text { VP -> VB NP PP } \\ & \text { NP -> DT NN } \\ & \text { NP -> NN } \\ & \text { NP -> NP PP } \\ & \text { PP -> IN NP } \\ & \text { DT -> the } \\ & \text { IN -> with } \\ & \text { VB -> film } \\ & \text { VB }->\text { trust } \\ & \text { NN -> man } \\ & \text { NN -> film } \\ & \text { NN -> trust } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S -> VP } \\ & \text { VP -> VB NP } \\ & \text { VP -> VP2 PP } \\ & \text { VP2 -> VB NP } \\ & \text { NP -> DT NN } \\ & \text { NP -> NN } \\ & \text { NP -> NP PP } \\ & \text { PP -> IN NP } \\ & \text { DT -> the } \\ & \text { IN -> with } \\ & \text { VB -> film } \\ & \text { VB -> trust } \\ & \text { NN -> man } \\ & \text { NN -> film } \\ & \text { NN -> trust } \end{aligned}$ |

## Grammar questions

Can we determine if a sentence is grammatical?

Given a sentence, can we determine the
syntactic structure?

Next time: parsing

Can we determine how likely a sentence is to be grammatical? to be an English sentence?

Can we generate candidate, grammatical sentences?

