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Linear models

A strong high-bias assumption is linear separability:
$\square$ in 2 dimensions, can separate classes by a line
$\square$ in higher dimensions, need hyperplanes
A linear model is a model that assumes the data is linearly
separable
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Hyperplanes
A hyperplane is a line/plane in a high-dimensional space
What defines a line?
What defines a hyperplane?

| Defining a line |
| :--- | :--- |
| Any pair of values $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ defines a line through the origin: |
| $0=w_{1} f_{1}+w_{2} f_{2}$ |



| Defining a line |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Any pair of values $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ defines a line through the origin:$0=w_{1}$ |  |


| Defining a line |
| :--- |
| Any pair of values $\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ defines a line through the origin: <br> $0=w_{1} f_{1}+w_{2} f_{2}$ <br> We can also view it as the <br> line perpendicular to the <br> weight vector |
| w=(1,2) |
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Defining a line

Any pair of values ( $w_{1}, w_{2}$ ) defines a line through the origin:
$0=w_{1} f_{1}+w_{2} f_{2}$

$$
0=1 f_{1}+2 f_{2}
$$
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Classifying with a linear model
We can classify with a linear model by checking the sign:
$f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$
classifier $b+\sum_{i-1}^{n} w_{i} f_{i}>0$ Positive example
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| A closer look at why we got it wrong |
| :---: |
| Which of the weights contributed to the mistake? |


| A closer look at why we got it wrong |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| How should we change the weights? |  |


| A closer look at why we got it wrong |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{w}_{1} \quad \mathrm{w}_{2}$$1 * f_{1}+0 * f_{2}=$$1 *-1+0 * 1=-1$$\quad$We'd like this value to be positive <br> since it's a positive value |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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| Perceptron learning algorithm |
| :--- |
| repeat until convergence (or for some \# of iterations): |
| for each training example ( $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n \prime}$ label): |
| prediction $=b+\sum_{i-1}^{n} w_{i} f_{i}$ |
| if prediction * label $\leq 0: / /$ they don't agree |
| for each $w_{i}$ |
| $w_{i}=w_{i}+f_{i}$ *label |
| $b=b+$ label |

## Perceptron learning algorithm

repeat until convergence (or for some \# of iterations):
for each training example ( $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n \prime}$, label):
prediction $=b+\sum_{i-1}^{n} w_{i} f_{i}$
if prediction * label $\leq 0: / /$ they don't agree
for each $w_{i}$ :
$w_{i}=w_{i}+f_{i}^{*}$ label
$b=b+$ label

Would this work for non-binary features, i.e. real-valued?
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Convergence
repeat until convergence (or for some \# of iterations):
for each training example ( $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$, label):
prediction $=b+\sum_{i-1}^{n} w_{i} f_{i}$
if prediction * label $\leq 0$ : // they don't agree
for each $w_{i}$
$w_{i}=w_{i}+f_{i}^{*}$ label
$b=b+$ label
Also helps avoid overfitting!
(This is harder to see in 2-D examples, though)
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wis the strength of signal sent between $A$ and $B$.
If $A$ fires and $w$ is positive, then $A$ stimulates $B$.
If $A$ fires and $w$ is negative, then $A$ inhibits $B$.
If a node is stimulated enough, then it also fires.
How much stimulation is required is determined by its threshold.
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