Computational Complexity
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Computer Scientists Break Traveling Salesperson Record

Algorithms

After 44 years, there’s finally a better way to find approximate solutions to the notoriously difficult traveling salesperson problem.

When Nathan Klein started graduate school two years ago, his advisers proposed a modest plan: to work together on one of the most famous, long-standing problems in theoretical computer science.

Even if they didn’t manage to solve it, they figured, Klein would learn a lot in the process. He went along with the idea. “I didn’t know to be intimidated,” he said. “I was just a first-year grad student — I don’t
After 44 years, there’s finally a better way to find approximate solutions to the notoriously difficult traveling salesperson problem.
Outline

Topics and Learning Objectives
• Discuss complexity theory
• Discuss common complexity classes (P, NP, NP-Hard, NP-Complete)
• Cover the travelling salesperson problem (TSP)

Exercise
• In slides
Let’s Motivate our NP Discussion

The Traveling Salesperson Problem

Given a list of cities and the distances between each pair of cities, what is the shortest possible route that visits each city exactly once and returns to the origin city?

• Input: a complete, undirected graph with non-negative edge costs
• Output: a minimum cost tour (a cycle that visits each vertex once)
• Applications?
Let’s Motivate our NP Discussion

The Traveling Salesperson Problem
• Input: a complete, undirected graph with non-negative edge costs
• Output: a minimum cost tour (a cycle that visits each vertex once)

• What is a naïve solution to this problem?
• Is a greedy solution the optimal solution?
• Is this a good candidate for dynamic programming?
Greedy Traveling Salesperson Problem?

Total Cost = 52
Computational Complexity Classification

Classify problems according to **difficulty**
- “With respect to input size, these problems take linear time to solve.”
- “These problems require quadratic memory when compared to the input size.”
- “These problems are **hard** because they require significant [insert resource].”

**Relate classes to one another**
- “This class of problems is computationally **harder** than this other class.”

Problems can relate to many things
- Decision problems (output “yes” or “no”), optimization problems (output best solution), function problems (similar to decision, but more complex output)
P: *is the set of polynomial-time solvable problems*

Most of what we’ve covered is in the class $\mathbf{P}$

Some things not in $\mathbf{P}$ that we’ve seen:

• Shortest path algorithms that must work with negative cycles
• Algorithms for The Knapsack Problem

Note that:

• Some problems in $\mathbf{P}$ are slow to solve (large input or large exponent)
• Some problems not in $\mathbf{P}$ are tractable (smaller input or good heuristics)
P : set of problems that are **polynomial-time solvable**

NP : set of problems that are **nondeterministic polynomial-time solvable**

Complete : among the **hardest problems** in a complexity class (like P or NP)
  
  For example: **NP-Complete** contains the hardest problems in **NP**
  
  We don’t know the lower bound on the running time for these problems.

Hard : **at least** (can be harder) has hard as everything in some complexity class
  
  For example: **NP-Hard** contains problems at least as hard as all NP
  
  **NP-Hard** also contains problems that are harder than those in NP
  
  We are pretty sure (but have not proven) that these problem are not P
Definition of NP

The class of computational problems for which a given solution can be verified as a solution in polynomial time by a deterministic Turing machine (or solvable by a non-deterministic Turing machine in polynomial time).

This does not imply that you can or cannot calculate the solution in polynomial time. We might not have a proof either way.

Some problems can be verified faster than they can be solved.
• Comparison-based sorting: solve in $O(n \lg n)$; verify in $O(n)$
P ≠ NP

P = NP

NP-Complete

NP-Hard

P = NP = NP-Complete
NP-Hard

chess
standard TSP
circuit design
decision TSP

NP

sorting
DFS/BFS

P

matrix
multiplication

NP-Intermediate

NPI might not exist
Tractability (and intractability)

• A problem is considered tractable if it is polynomial-time solvable.

• A problem is polynomial-time solvable if there is an algorithm that correctly solves it in $O(n^k)$ time ($k$ is just some constant).

• Typically, we think of $k$ as being 1, 2, 3, or 4. Much higher than that and the problem begins to feel intractable even though it is technically polynomial time solvable.
Traveling Salesperson Problem

• How many different tours exist? \( n! \)
1 BILLION YEARS
Traveling Salesperson Problem

- How many different tours exist? \( n! \)

- This problem has been extensively studied by many of the most well-known computer scientists since the late 1950s.

- **We do not know if a polynomial time algorithm exists for TSP.**

- In 1965 it was conjectured that no polynomial-time algorithm exists for TSP.

- This conjecture is part of what motivated the need for computation complexity classifications.

- We have found an exponential-time algorithm for solving the problem.
TSP with Dynamic Programming

• Compute optimal solution for \( n \) nodes using optimal solution with \( n - 1 \) nodes

1. Pick a starting node \( S \)
2. Find optimal paths that include \( S \) and one other node
3. Find optimal paths that include \( S \) and two other nodes
4. ...

• Similar to Bellman-Ford single-source shortest path algorithm
Shortest path with S and 1 other node ending at the other node
Shortest path with S and 2 other nodes ending at each of the other nodes
Shortest path with S and n-1 other nodes ending at each of the other nodes
FUNCTION BellmanHeldKarp(G)
    n = G.vertices.length
    # Compute all pairwise Euclidean distances between vertices
dists = ComputeDistances(G)

    # Create and initialize a two-dimensional cost matrix
    # n : final vertex
    # 2^n : different sets of vertices (a powerset)
costs = Matrix(n, 2^n)
    # Let's use 0 as the start vertex
    FOR v IN [1 ..< n]
        costs(v, {0, v}) = dists(0, v)
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    # Compute paths for all possible subsets of vertices
    other_vertices = G.vertices - {0}
    FOR size IN [2 ..<= n]
        FOR subset IN PowerSet(other_vertices, size)
            FOR next IN subset
                min_cost = INFINITY
                state = subset - {next}
                FOR end IN state
                    new_cost = costs(end, state) + dists(end, next)
                    IF new_cost < min_cost
                        min_cost = new_cost
                        costs(next, subset + {0}) = min_cost
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O(n^2)
$\text{n! vs } n^{22^n}$

Graph 1:
- $T(n)$ vs $n$
- $n = 2, 4, 6, 8$
- $T(n) = 2, 4, 7, 7.678$

Graph 2:
- $T(n)$ vs $n$
- $n = 8, 8.5, 9$
- $T(n) = -1, 0, 0$
Solving the TSP

- There are $n!$ total possible tours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Size</th>
<th>Brute-Force $n!$</th>
<th>Exponential $O(n^{22^n})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>87 billion ...</td>
<td>3 million ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 trillion ...</td>
<td>7 million ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20 trillion ...</td>
<td>16 million ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>265 nonillion ...</td>
<td>966 billion ...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Solving the TSP

- There are $n!$ total possible tours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Size</th>
<th>Brute Force</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>87 billion 178 million ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1 trillion 307 billion ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>20 trillion 922 billion ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>265 nonillion 252 octillion 859 septillion 812 sextillion 191 quintillion 58 quadrillion 636 trillion ...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What happens when we need to optimize deliveries to 1,000 or 10,000 cities?
A tour of all 13,509 cities and towns in the US that have more than 500 residents.
TSP

What is the length of a solution to the TSP problem?

How long does it take to verify the solution?

In order to check that a proposed tour is a solution of the TSP we need to check two things, namely

1. That each city is is visited only once
2. That there is no shorter tour than the one we are checking

Nobody has found a way to do this in polynomial time!
Modified TSP

How long does it take to verify the solution to this altered version:
• Given the output $T$ and some total length $L$
• Is $T$ a tour with a total length less than $L$?
• This is called the Decision TSP.

• The standard TSP is NP-Hard. (it might be or might not be NP)
• The decision TSP is NP-Complete. (definitely NP, might be P if P = NP)
• Note: there are several other formulations of the TSP problem.
The standard TSP is NP-Hard
The decision TSP is NP-Complete
NP

- Some problems in NP can be solved by a brute-force algorithm in exponential time.
- Some problems in NP cannot be solved in exponential time.
- The vast majority of all computational problems are NP-Complete.
- A polynomial-time solution for any NP-Complete problem gives a polynomial time solution to all NP-Complete Problems.
- This would imply that $P = NP$
- Our world would change overnight if $P = NP$.
- We might not know the answer to $P = NP$ or $P \neq NP$ for a long time.
Recap: NP

A problem is NP if one can easily (in polynomial time) check that a proposed solution is indeed a solution.

A problem is NP hard if it is at least as difficult as any NP problem.

A problem is NP complete if it is both NP and NP hard.
Process for proving a problem is NP-Complete

1. Find a known NP-Complete Problem P1
2. Prove that P1 reduces to your problem P2

• This implies that P2 is at least as hard as P1 (P1 might be easier)
• And since P1 is NP-Complete, P2 must be at least NP-Hard
• If a solution to P2 can be verified in polynomial time then P2 is also in NP
• Thus, P2 is NP-Complete
NP-Complete Exercise

What do you know about the (NP-Complete) graph partitioning problem?

a. it is in NP-Hard
b. the clique problem (a problem in P) can be reduced to it
c. it is in NP
d. it can be reduced to the SAT problem (an NP-Complete problem)
Quick Summary

• In roughly 1971-1974, the field of computer science came up with the concept of NP.
• This has a pretty big impact on many fields.
• P is the class of all polynomial-time solvable problems.
• NP is the class of all problems whose solutions can be verified in polynomial-time.
• It is widely believed that P ≠ NP.
• Though, some expert computer scientists and mathematicians believe that P = NP.