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| Extensible array |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sequential locations in memory in linear order |  |
| Elements are accessed via index |  |
| - Access of particular indices is O(1) |  |
| Say we want to implement an array that supports add (i.e. |  |
| addToBack) |  |
| • ArrayList or Vector in Java |  |
| - lists in Python, perl, Ruby, ... |  |
| How can we do it? |  |

3


2


4


5


7


6


8


9


10

## Extensible array

Challenge: most of the calls to add will be $\mathrm{O}(1)$

How else might we talk about runtime?

What is the average worst-case running time of a sequence of adds?

- Note this is different than the average-case running time



## Amortized analysis

What does "amortize" mean?
am-or-tized am-or-tiz•ing
Definition of AMORTIZE 区 [ Like
1 : to pay off (as a mortgage) gradually usually by periodic payments of principal and interest or by payments to a sinking fund

2 : to gradually reduce or write off the cost or value of (as an asset) <amortize goodwill> <amortize machinery>

- am•or-tiz•able adn adjective

|  | Amortized analysis |
| :--- | :--- |
| There are many situations where the worst case running |  |
| time is bad |  |
| However, if we average the operations over $n$ operations, |  |
| the average time is more reasonable |  |
| This is called amortized analysis |  |
| - This is different than average-case running time, which requires |  |
| probabilistic reasoning about input |  |
| - The worse case running time doesn't change |  |
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## What are the costs?

Assume we start with an array of size 1
Insertion: 12345678910
size: 124488881616
cost: 1231511191
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## What are the costs?

Insertion: 12345678910
size: 124488881616
basic cost: $1 \begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
double cost: 0120400080
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## What are the costs?

## Amortized analysis

More generally:
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## Amortized analysis vs.

 worse caseWhat is the worse case of add?

- Still O(n)
- If you have an application that needs it to be $O(1)$, this implementation will not work!
amortized analysis give you the cost of $n$ operations (i.e. average cost) not the cost of any individual operation


## Extensible arrays

What if instead of doubling the array, we add instead increase the array by a fixed amount (call it k) each time

Is the amortized run-time still $O(1)$ ?

- No!
- Why?
Consider the cost of $n$ insertions for some constant $k$
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## Accounting method <br> Each operation has an amount we charge to accomplish it（this is really the run－time for this operation） <br> We deduct from that charge the actual cost of the operation <br> If there is anything left over，put it in the bank <br> An operation may also use the bank to offset the cost of the operation <br> Key idea：charge more for low－cost operations and save that up to offset the cost of expensive operations

## Amortized analysis

Consider the cost of $n$ insertions for some constant $k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{total} l_{-} \operatorname{cost}(n) & =\mathrm{n}+\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right) \\
& =\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

amortized $\Omega(n)$ ！
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## 

Insertion： 12345678910
size： 124488881616
cost： 1231511191
bank：

How much should we pay for each insert？
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Insertion: $1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 \longdiv { 9 1 0 }$
size: 1224488881616

cost: 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

bank: 233535793

Try insert: 3
Will this work??
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## Accounting method

Insert pay 3 = $\mathrm{O}(1)$ !

Particularly useful when there are multiple operations

## Another set data structure

We want to support fast lookup and insertion (i.e. faster than linear)

Arrays can easily made to be fast for one or the other

- fast search: keep list sorted
- $O(n)$ insert
- O(log n) search
- fast insert: extensible array
- O(1) insert (amortized)
- O(n) search
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## Another set data structure

Idea: store data in a collection of arrays

- array $i$ has size $2^{i}$
- an array is either full or empty (never partially full)
- each array is stored in sorted order
- no relationship between arrays


## Another set data structure

Which arrays are full and empty are based on the number of elements

- specifically, binary representation of the number of elements
- 4 items $=100=$ A2-full, A1-empty, Ao-empty
- 11 items $=1011$ = A3-full, A2-empty, A1-full, Ao-full
$\mathrm{A}_{0}$ : [5]
$\mathrm{A}_{1}:[4,8]$
$\mathrm{A}_{2}$ : empty
$\mathrm{A}_{3}:[2,6,9,12,13,16,20,25]$

Lookup: binary search through each array

- Worse case runtime?

| Another set data structure |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : [5] <br> $\mathrm{A}_{1}:[4,8]$ <br> $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ : empty <br> $\mathrm{A}_{3}:[2,6,9,12,13,16,20,25]$ |  |
| Lookup: binary search through each array |  |
| Worse case: all arrays are full <br> - number of arrays $=$ number of digits $=\log \mathrm{n}$ <br> - binary search cost for each array $=\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$ <br> - $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n})$ |  |
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| Insert 5 <br> $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ : [5] | Insert <br> - starting at $i=0$ <br> - current $=[$ item $]$ <br> - as long as the level $\bar{i}$ is full <br> - merge current with $A$ i using merge procedure <br> - store to current <br> - $A_{i}=$ empty <br> i++ <br> - $A_{i}=$ current |
| :---: | :---: |
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| Insert 23 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ : empty <br> $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ : empty <br> $\mathrm{A}_{2}:[4,5,6,12]$ | Insert <br> - starting at $\mathrm{i}=0$ <br> - current = [item] <br> - as long as the level $i$ is full <br> - merge current with Ai using merge procedure <br> - store to current <br> - $A_{i}=$ empty <br> - i++ <br> - $A_{i}=$ current |
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| Another set data structure |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Insert <br> - starting at $\mathrm{i}=0$ <br> - current $=[$ item $]$ <br> - as long as the level $i$ is full <br> - merge current with $A$ using merge procedure <br> - store to current <br> - $A_{i}=$ empty <br> - i++ <br> - $A_{i}=$ current <br> running time? |  |
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## Insert running time

Worst case

- merge at each level
- $2+4+8+\ldots+n / 2+n=O(n)$

There are many insertions that won't fall into this worse case

What is the amortized worse case for insertion?
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## insert: amortized analysis

Consider inserting $n$ numbers

- how many times will $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ be empty?
- how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ ?
- how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ?
- how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ?
- how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{\log \mathrm{n}}$ ?


## insert: amortized analysis

Consider inserting $n$ numbers times

- how many times will $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ be empty? $\mathrm{n} / 2$
- how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 2$
- how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 4$
- how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 8$
- how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{\log \mathrm{n}}$ ? 1
cost of each of these steps?
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| insert: amortized analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Consider inserting $n$ numbers <br> - how many times will $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ be empty? <br> - how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 2$ <br> - how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 4$ <br> - how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 8$ - ... <br> - how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{\log \mathrm{n}}$ ? 1 <br> total cost: | cost <br> O(1) <br> 2 <br> 4 <br> 8 <br> n |
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| insert: amortized analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Consider inserting $n$ numbers <br> - how many times will $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ be empty? <br> - how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 2$ <br> - how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 4$ <br> - how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ ? $\mathrm{n} / 8$ - ... <br> - how many times will we need to merge with $\mathrm{A}_{\text {og }}$ ? 1 <br> total cost: $\log n$ levels * $O(n)$ each level O(n log n) cost for $n$ inserts O(logn) amortized cost! | cost <br> $\mathrm{O}(1)$ <br> 2 <br> 4 <br> 8 <br> n |
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