



Tractable vs. intractable problems

trac-ta-ble <sup>◄</sup> (trāk ta-bə)) adj. 1. Easily managed or controlled; governable. 2. Easily handled or worked; malleable.

What is a "tractable" problem?









#### Solvable vs. unsolvable problems



Possible to solve: solvable problems; a solvable riddle.

What is a "solvable" problem?

7



#### Sorting

Given n integers, sort them from smallest to largest.

Solvable and tractable: Mergesort:  $\Theta(n \log n)$ 

## Enumerating all subsets

Sorting

10

Given a set of n items, enumerate all possible subsets.

Given n integers, sort them from smallest to largest.

Tractable/intractable?

Solvable/unsolvable?

Tractable/intractable?

Solvable/unsolvable?

12

#### Enumerating all subsets

Given a set of n items, enumerate all possible subsets.

Solvable, but intractable:  $\Theta(2^n)$  subsets

For large n this will take a very, very long time

13

# Halting problem Given an arbitrary algorithm/program and a particular input, will the program terminate? Tractable/intractable? Solvable/unsolvable?

# Halting problem

Given an arbitrary algorithm/program and a particular input, will the program terminate?

Unsolvable Θ

#### Integer solution?

Given a polynomial equation, are there *integer* values of the variables such that the equation is true?

$$x^{3}yz + 2y^{4}z^{2} - 7xy^{5}z = 6$$

Tractable/intractable?

Solvable/unsolvable?























NP problems

polynomial time

26

NP is the set of problems that can be verified in

A problem can be verified in polynomial time if you can

check that a given solution is correct in polynomial time

(NP is an abbreviation for non-deterministic polynomial time)

27

















#### NP-Complete

#### A problem is NP-complete if:

- it can be verified in polynomial time (i.e. in NP)
- any NP-complete problem can be reduced to the problem in polynomial time (is NP-hard)

The hamiltonian cycle problem is NP-complete

It's at least as hard as any of the other NP-complete problems

37

# NP-Complete

#### A problem is *NP*-complete if:

- it can be verified in polynomial time (i.e. in NP)
- 2. any NP-complete problem can be reduced to the problem in polynomial time (is NP-hard)

If I found a polynomial-time solution to the hamiltonian cycle problem, what would this mean for the other NP-complete problems?

38







### NP-complete problems

#### Longest path

Given a graph G with nonnegative edge weights does a simple path exist from s to t with weight at least g?

41

P vs. NP	
Polynomial time solutions exist	NP-complete (and no polynomial time solution currently exists)
Shortest path	Longest path
Bipartite matching	3D matching
Linear programming	Integer linear programming
Minimum cut	Balanced cut



NP-complete problems

find a matching between the sets Chet (

Armadillo Bobcat Canary

Bob C

Al O

Bipartite matching: given two sets of things and pair constraints, find a matching between the sets

3D matching: given three sets of things and triplet constraints,

Cam

Figure from Dasgupta et. al 2008

⊖ Alice

3D matching

43

#### Proving NP-completeness

Given a problem NEW to show it is NP-Complete

- Show that NEW is in NP
- a. Provide a verifier
- b. Show that the verifier runs in polynomial time
- Show that all NP-complete problems are reducible to NEW in polynomial time
- Describe a reduction function f from a known NP-Complete problem to NEW
- b. Show that f runs in polynomial time
- c Show that a solution exists to the NP-Complete problem IFF a solution exists to the NEW problem generate by f

46

#### **Proving NP-completeness**

Show that a solution exists to the NP-Complete problem IFF a solution exists to the NEW problem generate by  ${\rm f}$ 

- Assume we have an NP-Complete problem instance that has a solution, show that the NEW problem instance generated by f has a solution
- Assume we have a problem instance of NEW generated by f that has a solution, show that we can derive a solution to the NP-Complete problem instance

Other ways of proving the IFF, but this is often the easiest

47

#### **Proving NP-completeness**

Show that all NP-complete problems are reducible to NEW in polynomial time

Why is it sufficient to show that one NP-complete problem reduces to the NEW problem?



**Proving NP-completeness** 







Given a boolean formula of n boolean variables joined by m connectives (AND, OR or NOT) is there a setting of the variables such that the boolean formula evaluate to true?

$$(a \wedge b) \vee (\neg a \wedge \neg b)$$

$$((\neg (b \lor \neg c) \land a) \lor (a \land b \land c)) \land c \land \neg b$$

Is SAT an NP-complete problem?



51

#### NP-complete: SAT Given a boolean formula of n boolean variables joined by mconnectives (AND, OR or NOT) is there a setting of the variables such that the boolean formula evaluate to true? $((\neg (b \lor \neg c) \land a) \lor (a \land b \land c)) \land c \land \neg b$ Show that SAT is in NP Provide a verifier Show that the verifier runs in polynomial time Show that all NP-complete problems are reducible to SAT in polynomial time Describe a reduction function f from a known NP-Complete problem to SAT Show that f runs in polynomial time

Show that a solution exists to the NP-Complete problem IFF a solution exists to the SAT problem generate by

#### NP-Complete: SAT

#### 1. Show that SAT is in NP

- Provide a verifier
- Show that the verifier runs in polynomial time

#### Verifier: A solution consists of an assignment of the variables

- If clause is a single variable:
- return the value of the variable
- otherwise
  - for each clause:
    - call the verifier recursively
    - compute a running solution

polynomial run-time?

54

# NP-Complete: SAT

Verifier: A solution consists of an assignment of the variables • If clause is a single variable:

- return the value of the variable
- otherwise
  - for each clause:
    - call the verifier recursively linear time
    - compute a running solution
- at most a linear number of recursive calls (each call makes the problem smaller and no overlap)
- overall polynomial time

55

# Show that all NP-complete problems are reducible to SAT in polynomial time Describe a reduction function f from a known NP-Complete problem to SAT Show that f runs in polynomial time

 Show that a solution exists to the NP-Complete problem IFF a solution exists to the SAT problem generate by f

#### Reduce 3-SAT to SAT:

- Given an instance of 3-SAT, turn it into an instance of SAT

Reduction function:

- DONE 🙄
- Runs in constant time! (or linear if you have to copy the problem)
- NP-Complete: SAT
  Show that a solution exists to the NP-Complete problem IFF a solution exists to the NEW problem generate by f
  Assume we have an NP-Complete problem instance that has a solution, show that the NEW problem instance generated by f has a solution.
  Assume we have a problem instance of NEW generated by f that has a solution, show that we can derive a solution to the NP-Complete problem instance.
  Assume we have a 3-SAT problem with a solution:
  Because 3-SAT problems are a subset of SAT problems, then the SAT problem will also have a solution.
  Assume we have a problem instance generated by our reduction with a solution:
  Our reduction function simply closs a copy, so it is already a 3-SAT problem.
  Therefore the variable assignment found by our SAT-solver will also be a solution to the original 3-SAT problem.

56



We know that the problem is hard (and we probably won't find a polynomial time exact solver)

We may need to compromise:

- reformulate the problem
- settle for an approximate solution
- Down the road, if a solution is found for an NP-complete problem, then we'd have one too...

58



59



A clique in an undirected graph G = (V, E) is a subset V'  $\subseteq V$  of vertices that are fully connected, i.e. every vertex in V' is connected to every other vertex in V'

CLIQUE problem: Does G contain a clique of size k?





Given a graph G, does the graph contain a clique containing exactly half the vertices?

Is HALF-CLIQUE an NP-complete problem?

# Is Half-Clique NP-Complete?

- Show that NEW is in NP
- a. Provide a verifier
- b. Show that the verifier runs in polynomial time
- 2. Show that all NP-complete problems are reducible to NEW in polynomial time
  - $\hfill \square$  . Describe a reduction function f from a known NP-Complete problem to NEW
  - b. Show that f runs in polynomial time
  - $_{\rm c}$   $\,$  Show that a solution exists to the NP-Complete problem IFF a solution exists to the NEW problem generate by f

Given a graph G, does the graph contain a clique containing exactly half the vertices?