# Memory and Data Locality

(The C Memory Model)

https://cs.pomona.edu/classes/cs140/

# Outline

#### **Topics and Learning Objectives**

- Motivate our discussion on memory
- Discuss memory access timing
- Discuss the C memory model
- Discuss locality

#### **Exercise**

• Sets and Lists (tangentially related)

# Exercise

### Process Memory

- Text: contains your compiled code
- Data: contains **initialized** static and global variables
- BSS: contains uninitialized static and global variables
- Heap: contains dynamically allocated memory

Stack: contains local variables



### Process Memory

- Text: contains your compiled code
- Data: contains **initialized** static and global variables
- BSS: contains uninitialized static and global variables
- Heap: contains dynamically allocated memory

Stack: contains local variables



https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall07/cos217/lectures/06MemoryAllocation-3x1.pdf

Read through the code for a few moments.

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
char* f(void)
    char* p;
    iSize = 8;
    p = malloc(iSize);
    return p;
```



What goes in Text?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```

What goes in Text?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```

What goes in Data?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```



What goes in Data?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```

#### What goes in BSS?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```



What goes in BSS?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```

What goes in Heap?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```

What goes in Heap?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```

What goes in Stack?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```

What goes in Stack?

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
```

```
char* f(void)
{
```

```
char* p;
iSize = 8;
p = malloc(iSize);
return p;
```

```
char* string = "hello";
int iSize;
char* f(void)
    char* p;
    iSize = 8;
    p = malloc(iSize);
    return p;
```



## Stack and Heap Resources

- <u>https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall07/cos217/lecture</u> <u>s/06MemoryAllocation-3x1.pdf</u>
- <u>https://doc.rust-lang.org/1.6.0/book/the-stack-and-the-heap.html</u>
- <u>https://www.usna.edu/Users/cs/aviv/classes/ic221/s16/lec/08/lec.ht</u>
- <u>https://manybutfinite.com/post/anatomy-of-a-program-in-memory/</u>
- <u>https://stackoverflow.com/questions/79923/what-and-where-are-the-stack-and-heap</u>

## Principle of Locality

- <u>Locality</u>: programs tend to reuse data and instructions near those they have used recently
- <u>Temporal locality</u>: recently referenced items are likely to be referenced again in the near future
- <u>Spatial locality</u>: items with nearby addresses tend to be referenced close together in time

#### (YouTube) Efficiency with Algorithms, Performance with Data Structures

Discontiguous data structures are the root of all evil. This is simply a fact. If you don't believe me, I'll try to convince you. Specifically, please say "no" to linked lists. OK. Please. Please say no to linked lists. There is almost nothing more harmful you can do to the performance of an actual modern microprocessor than to use a linked list data structure.

Chandler Carruth (Engineer at Google) @ CppCon 2014

# JUST SAY NO TO LINKED LISTS

(YouTube) Efficiency with Algorithms, Performance with Data Structures

Discontiguous data structures are the root of all evil. This is simply a fact. If you don't believe me, I'll try to convince you. Specifically, please say "no" to linked lists. OK. Please. Please say no to linked lists. There is almost nothing more harmful you can do to the performance of an actual modern microprocessor than to use a linked list data structure.

Chandler Carruth (Engineer at Google) @ CppCon 2014

### DISCONTIGUOUS DATA STRUCTURES ARE THE ROOT OF ALL (PERFORMANCE) EVIL<sup>21</sup>

### Intel Core i7 cache hierarchy (2014)

Notice that the amount of space gets bigger as you go down the hierarchy



## (Simplified) Cache View



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1 Cache
- 4. On miss, looks in L2 Cache
- 5. On miss, looks in L3 Cache
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory

| Entity                                  | Time (nanoseconds) | Note                      |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------|
| One cycle on a 3 GHz processor          | 1                  |                           |
| L1 cache reference                      | 0.5                |                           |
| Branch mis-predict                      | 5                  |                           |
| L2 cache reference                      | 7                  | 14x L1 cache              |
| Mutex lock/unlock                       | 25                 |                           |
| Main memory reference                   | 100                | 20x L2; 200x L1           |
| Compress 1k bytes with Snappy           | 3,000              |                           |
| Send 1K bytes over 1 Gbps network       | 10,000             |                           |
| Read 4K randomly from SSD               | 150,000            |                           |
| Read 1 MB sequentially from main memory | 250,000            |                           |
| Round trip with the same datacenter     | 500,000            |                           |
| Read 1 MB sequentially from SSD         | 1,000,000          | 4x main memory            |
| Disk seek                               | 10,000,000         | 20x datacenter round trip |
| Read 1 MB sequentially from Disk        | 20,000,000         | 80x main memory; 20x SSD  |
| Send package CA -> Netherlands -> CA    | 150,000,000        |                           |

Efficiency with Algorithms, Performance with Data Structures

# Intel Core i7 cache hierarchy (2014)







- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



### 1. CPU needs a piece of memory

- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



### 1. CPU needs a piece of memory

- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



### 1. CPU needs a piece of memory

- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



What if the next item is far away from these two?

- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory



What if the next item is far away from these two?

- 1. CPU needs a piece of memory
- 2. Needs to load it into a register
- 3. Looks in L1
- 4. On miss, looks in L2
- 5. On miss, looks in L3
- 6. On miss, finds it in memory

#### std::vector

vs std::list





Which has better locality? Meaning, which will work better with cache?

#### **Adjacency Matrix**

#### **Adjacency List**



What does this picture look like for:1. An Adjacency Matrix2. An Adjacency List



What does extract min look like? What does this picture look like for:1. A Sorted Array2. A Binary Search Tree3. A Heap