
csci54 – discrete math & functional programming
proofs continued

on proof writing

- ▶ proof: a convincing argument written for a particular audience
- ▶ guidelines:
 - ▶ unless it's a direct proof without cases, state what proof technique you're using
 - ▶ define variables
 - ▶ have a concluding statement



proving "for all" statements

- ▶ claim: if x and y are even integers, then $x+y$ is an even integer
- ▶ claim: given any two integers x and y , if x and y are even then $x+y$ is even.

- ▶ observation on proving "for all" statements
 - ▶ "let x be an element of S "
 - ▶ since true for any element of S , must be true for all elements of S



Above all, remember that your primary goal in writing is communication. Just as when you are programming, it is possible to write two solutions to a problem that both “work,” but which differ tremendously in readability. Document! Comment your code; explain why this statement follows from previous statements. Make your proofs—and your code!—a pleasure to read.

CDMCS - end of section 4.3





direct proof : example (v1)

- ▶ claim: If a number is odd, then its binary representation ends with a 1.
 - ▶ proof:
 - ▶ Let k be an arbitrary odd integer.
 - ▶ Then there exists an integer r such that $k=2r+1$.
 - ▶ Now let $d_n\dots d_2d_1d_0$ be the binary representation of r .
 - ▶ The binary representation of $2r$ is then $d_n\dots d_2d_1d_00$, and
 - ▶ The binary representation of $k=2r+1= d_n\dots d_2d_1d_01$.
 - ▶ conclusion: Therefore the binary representation of any odd integer ends with a 1.
-

direct proof : example (v2)

- ▶ claim: If a number is odd, then its binary representation ends with a 1.
- ▶ proof:
 - ▶ Let k be an arbitrary odd integer.
 - ▶ Then there exists an integer r such that $k=2r+1$.
 - ▶ Now let $d_n \dots d_2 d_1 d_0$ be the binary representation of r .
 - ▶ This means $r = \dots$
 - ▶ So $2r = \dots$
 - ▶ The binary representation of $2r$ is therefore $d_n \dots d_2 d_1 d_0 0$, and
 - ▶ The binary representation of $k=2r+1 = d_n \dots d_2 d_1 d_0 1$.
- ▶ conclusion: Therefore the binary representation of any odd integer ends with a 1.

direct proof : example (v3)

- ▶ claim: If a number is odd, then its binary representation ends with a 1.
 - ▶ proof:
 - ▶ Let k be an arbitrary odd integer.
 - ▶ Then there exists an integer r such that $k=2r+1$.
 - ▶ Now let $d_n\dots d_2d_1d_0$ be the binary representation of r .
 - ▶ This means $r = \dots$
 - ▶ So $2r = \dots$
 $= \dots$
 - ▶ The binary representation of $2r$ is therefore $d_n\dots d_2d_1d_00$, and
 - ▶ The binary representation of $k=2r+1= d_n\dots d_2d_1d_01$.
 - ▶ conclusion: Therefore the binary representation of any odd integer ends with a 1.
-



if and only if: example

- ▶ prove the following claim by proving each direction separately. Use a direct proof in one direction and a proof of the contrapositive in the other.
- ▶ claim: For all integers j and k , j and k are odd if and only if their product jk is odd.
- ▶ proof: Let j and k be arbitrary integers.
 - ▶ () If j and k are odd, then jk is odd
 - ▶ () If jk is odd, then j and k are odd
- ▶ Therefore for all integers j and k , j and k are odd if and only if jk is odd.



a way that things can go wrong

► Claim: $1=0$

Proof. Suppose that $1 = 0$. Then:

therefore, multiplying both sides by 0

and therefore,

$$1 = 0$$

$$0 \cdot 1 = 0 \cdot 0$$

$$0 = 0. \quad \checkmark$$

And, indeed, $0 = 0$. Thus the assumption that $1 = 0$ was correct, and the theorem follows. □

► More examples, discussion in Chapter 4.5 of the book



-
- Material about proofs of $\text{plus } a \ 0 = \text{plus } 0 \ a$, $\text{plus } a \ 1 = \text{plus } 1 \ a$, $\text{plus } a \ b = \text{plus } b \ a$ for haskell:
 - $\text{plus } 0 \ b = b$
 - $\text{plus } a \ b = (\text{plus } (a-1) \ b) + 1$



proof techniques

- ▶ direct proof:
 - ▶ start with known facts. repeatedly infer additional new facts until can conclude what you want to show.
 - ▶ may divide work into cases
- ▶ proof of the contrapositive:
 - ▶ if trying to prove an implication, prove the contrapositive instead
- ▶ **proof by contradiction**
 - ▶ Claim: p is logically equivalent to $\neg p \rightarrow \perp$



proof techniques

- ▶ direct proof:
 - ▶ start with known facts. repeatedly infer additional new facts until can conclude what you want to show.
 - ▶ may divide work into cases
- ▶ proof of the contrapositive:
 - ▶ if trying to prove an implication, prove the contrapositive instead
- ▶ proof by contradiction
 - ▶ if trying to prove a statement, assume the statement is not true and prove something that is clearly false. From this conclude that the original statement must be true.

proof by contradiction – logic and example

- ▶ the proposition p is logically equivalent to $\neg p \rightarrow \perp$
- ▶ claim: The statement $\exists y: \forall x: y > x$ is false.
- ▶ proof by contradiction:
 - ▶ assume the statement is True; we'll show this leads to a contradiction
 - ▶ let y^* be a y for which the statement is True.
 - ▶ then y^* must be larger than all real numbers x .
 - ▶ however, y^* is also a real number, so $y^* > y^*$.
 - ▶ this is a contradiction so the assumption that the statement is True must be wrong.
 - ▶ therefore the original statement is False.



Example from csci101

Theorem: If L is a context-free language, then:

$$\exists k \geq 1 (\forall \text{ strings } w \in L, \text{ where } |w| \geq k (\exists u, v, x, y, z (w = uvxyz, \\ vy \neq \varepsilon, \\ |vxy| \leq k, \text{ and} \\ \forall q \geq 0 (uv^qxy^qz \text{ is in } L))))).$$

- ▶ used to prove that a language L is **not** context free
 - ▶ proof by contradiction: assume that L is context free. Then there must be a value k that satisfies the above theorem.
 - ▶ now show that such a k cannot exist

