

Lecture 5: Lambda Calculus

CSC 131
Fall, 2010

Kim Bruce

Pure Lambda Calculus

- Terms of pure lambda calculus
 - $M ::= v \mid (M M) \mid \lambda v. M$
 - Impure versions add constants, but not necessary!
 - Turing-complete
- Left associative: $M N P = (M N) P$.
- Computation based on substituting actual parameter for formal parameters

Free Variables

- Substitution easy to mess up!
- Def: If M is a term, then $FV(M)$, the collection of free variables of M , is defined as follows:
 - $FV(x) = \{x\}$
 - $FV(M N) = FV(M) \cup FV(N)$
 - $FV(\lambda v. M) = FV(M) - \{v\}$

Substitution

- Write $[N/x] M$ to denote result of replacing all free occurrences of x by N in expression M .
 - $[N/x] x = N$,
 - $[N/x] y = y$, if $y \neq x$,
 - $[N/x] (L M) = ([N/x] L) ([N/x] M)$,
 - $[N/x] (\lambda y. M) = \lambda y. ([N/x] M)$, if $y \neq x$ and $y \notin FV(N)$,
 - $[N/x] (\lambda x. M) = \lambda x. M$.

Computation Rules

- Reduction rules for lambda calculus:
 - (α) $\lambda x. M \rightarrow \lambda y. ([y/x] M)$, if $y \notin FV(M)$.
 - (β) $(\lambda x. M) N \rightarrow [N/x] M$.
 - (η) $\lambda x. (M x) \rightarrow M$. *Optional rule*

Why so complicated?

illegal substitution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda f. \lambda z. f(f z)) (\lambda x. x + z) &\rightarrow \lambda z. (\lambda x. x + z) ((\lambda x. x + z) z) \\
 &\rightarrow \lambda z. (\lambda x. x + z)(z + z) \\
 &\rightarrow \lambda z. (z + z) + z = \lambda z. 3z.
 \end{aligned}$$

- *rather than the correct.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda f. \lambda y. f(f y)) (\lambda x. x + z) &\rightarrow \lambda y. (\lambda x. x + z) ((\lambda x. x + z) y) \\
 &\rightarrow \lambda y. (\lambda x. x + z)(y + z) \\
 &\rightarrow \lambda y. (y + z) + z = \lambda y. y + 2z.
 \end{aligned}$$

Normal Forms

- A term M is in normal form if no reduction rules apply, even after applications of α .
- Not all terms have normal forms
 - $\Omega = (\lambda x. (x x))(\lambda x. (x x))$

How to evaluate

- Many strategies:
 - $(\lambda x. x + 32)((\lambda y. y * 3) 5) \rightarrow (\lambda x. x + 32) 15 \rightarrow 47$ *Inside-out.*
 - versus
 - $(\lambda x. x + 32)((\lambda y. y * 3) 5) \rightarrow ((\lambda y. y * 3) 5) + 32 \rightarrow 47$ *Outside-in.*
- Confluence: If M can be reduced to a normal form, then there is only one such normal form.
- However, not all strategies give a normal form:
 - $(\lambda x. 47) \Omega$

Computability

- Can encode all computable functions in pure untyped lambda calculus.
 - true = $\lambda u. \lambda v. u$
 - false = $\lambda u. \lambda v. v$
 - cond = $\lambda u. \lambda v. \lambda w. u v w$

Lambda Encoding

- Pairing:
 - Pair = $\lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda b. \text{cond } b m n.$
 - fst = $\lambda p. p \text{ true}$
 - snd = $\lambda p. p \text{ false}$

Encoding Integers

- Integers:
 - 0 = $\lambda s. \lambda z. z.$
 - 1 = $\lambda s. \lambda z. s z.$
 - 2 = $\lambda s. \lambda z. s (s z).$
- Integers encode repetition:
 - 2 $f x = f (f x)$
 - n $f x = f^{(n)}(x)$