

# Countability and Uncountability

Joseph C Osborn

January 15, 2025

# Outline

Countability

The Uncountable

# Reminder

- ▶ If  $f$  is an injection from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $|A| \leq |B|$ 
  - ▶ We can pick a different output for each input...
  - ▶ so there are at least as many outputs as inputs
- ▶ If  $f$  is a surjection from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $|A| \geq |B|$ 
  - ▶ We can hit every output with some input...
  - ▶ so we have at least as many inputs as outputs
- ▶ If  $f$  is a bijection from  $A$  to  $B$ ,  $|A| = |B|$ 
  - ▶ Greater-or-equal  $\wedge$  less-or-equal is just equal

# Practice

- ▶ Claim: the left set has the same cardinality as the one on the right.
  - ▶ Prove it by finding an injection and a surjection (or a single bijection) to some third set (maybe the nats!), and use a transitivity argument
- ▶  $|\text{Perfect squares}| = |\text{powers of two}|$
- ▶  $|\text{Pairs of natural numbers}| = |\text{number of possible finite-length bitstrings}|$
- ▶  $|\text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Nat}| ? |\text{natural numbers}|$ 
  - ▶ Hint: How many possible pairs of inputs can this function take? What is this question really asking?

# What isn't Countable?

- ▶ Sets are countable if their cardinality is  $\leq$  that of  $\mathbb{N}$
- ▶ Is any set bigger than the natural numbers?

# The Real Numbers

- ▶ Review:
  - ▶ Natural numbers ( $\mathbb{N}$ ): "counting numbers"
  - ▶ Integers ( $\mathbb{Z}$ ), "Zahlen": positive and negative natural numbers
  - ▶ Rationals ( $\mathbb{Q}$ ): Ratio between two integers, as simplified as possible
    - ▶ These are a subset of the pairs of integers, so they're definitely countable
  - ▶ Irrationals (no fun letter): Numbers that can't be represented as ratios of integers, e.g.  $\pi$ ,  $e$ ,  $\sqrt{2}$ , ...
- ▶ Reals ( $\mathbb{R}$ ): Rationals  $\cup$  Irrationals
  - ▶ Numbers described as infinite sequences of digits

# Are the reals countable?

- ▶ Are the reals countably infinite?
  - ▶ We could try to find a bijection with natural numbers...
  - ▶ spoiler: we can't.
- ▶ Let's use a proof by contradiction:
  - ▶ Suppose the reals are countable, i.e.  $|\mathbb{R}| \leq |\mathbb{N}|$  (or equivalently  $|\mathbb{N}| \geq |\mathbb{R}|$ )
  - ▶ In fact, let's focus on the reals between 0 and 1, not including 1.
    - ▶ If that range is bigger than  $\mathbb{N}$ , then surely all of  $\mathbb{R}$  is also bigger than  $\mathbb{N}$ .
  - ▶ Then there must be a surjection  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\{0..1\}$ , which enumerates every real between 0 and 1 without missing any.
  - ▶ We'll show that leads to a contradiction.

# Cantor's Diagonal Argument

Here is an example of a surjection from  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\{0..1\}$ .

This specific example is just to illustrate a gimmick. We don't actually care what  $f$  is, all functions  $f$  will have the same problem.

$x$		$y_0$	$y_1$	$y_2$	$y_3$	$y_4$	$y_5$	$y_6$	$y_7$	...
0	0.	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	...
1	0.	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	...
2	0.	2	3	0	1	4	3	2	1	...
3	0.	0	0	1	1	2	5	5	2	...
4	0.	5	9	2	1	8	9	3	1	...
5	0.	9	8	2	4	5	4	1	0	...



# Gimmick Time

Let's name a number  $g$  ( $g$  is for gimmick!).  $G$  is a real number between 0 and 1, and it's defined like this:

1. Its only digit before the decimal is 0.
2. Its first digit after the decimal (its "0th digit") is the first digit after the decimal of whatever number  $f(0)$  is, plus 1 (wrapping around to 0 if the result is 10), i.e.  
 $f(0)_0 + 1 \bmod 10$ .
3. Its second decimal digit (its "1st digit") is the second decimal digit of  $f(1)$ , plus one, mod 10.
4. And so on:  $g_n = (f(n)_n + 1) \bmod 10$

# The Contradiction

Since  $g$  is a real number, and  $f$  is a surjection, there must be some number  $k$  so that  $f(k) = g$ .

We know from the definition of  $g$  that  $g$ 's  $k$ th digit must be different from  $f(k)$ 's  $k$ th digit.

But  $g=f(k)$ ! This is a contradiction, so either  $g$  isn't a real number or  $f$  can't be a surjection.

$g$  is definitely a real, so  $f$  must not be a surjection. That means that there is no surjection from  $\mathbb{N}$  to our subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , so our subset must be strictly bigger than  $\mathbb{N}$ .

## Another diagonal proof

- ▶ Are infinite bitstrings countably infinite?
- ▶ Let's use a proof by contradiction:
  - ▶ Suppose that the set of infinite bitstrings is countable, i.e.  $|B| \leq |N|$  (or equivalently  $|N| \geq |B|$ )
  - ▶ Then there must be a surjection  $f : N \rightarrow B$ , which enumerates every bitstring without missing any.
  - ▶ We'll show that leads to a contradiction.

Here is an example of a surjection from  $N \rightarrow B$ .

x	y <sub>0</sub>	y <sub>1</sub>	y <sub>2</sub>	y <sub>3</sub>	y <sub>4</sub>	y <sub>5</sub>	y <sub>6</sub>	y <sub>7</sub>	...
0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	...
1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	...
2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	...
3	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	...
4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	...
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	...

# Gimmick Time

Let's name a number  $g$  ( $g$  is for gimmick!).  $G$  is an infinite bitstring defined so that  $g(n) = \dots$

(Remember: Our gimmick for reals was  
 $g(n) = (f(n)_n + 1) \bmod 10$ )

# Gimmick Time

G is an infinite bitstring defined so that  $g(n) = 1 - f(n)_n$   
Which leads to a contradiction because...

# The Contradiction

Which leads to a contradiction because there must be some  $k$  so that  $f(k) = g$ , since  $f$  is a surjection.

But then  $g(k)$  differs from itself at index  $k$ :  $g_k = 1 - f(k)_k$ , but  $f(k) = g$ , so  $g_k = 1 - g_k$ .

This is a contradiction; but  $g$  is definitely an infinite bitstring. So our assumption that such a surjection  $f$  exists is impossible, so the set of infinite bitstrings must be uncountably infinite.

# Final thoughts

Using the same techniques we saw earlier, we can prove lots of stuff:

- ▶ There are as many real numbers as there are reals between 0 and 1
- ▶ There are as many reals as there are pairs of reals
- ▶ The set of functions  $\text{Bool} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is countably infinite
- ▶ The set of functions  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$  is uncountably infinite
- ▶ ... and more!
- ▶ Intuition: Infinitely large sets of infinitely described objects are uncountably infinite
  - ▶ Infinitely large sets of finitely described objects are countably infinite

## Other weird stuff

- ▶ Rationals are dense: between any two rationals are infinitely many rationals
- ▶ Reals are also dense
- ▶ Between any two rationals are infinitely many reals
  - ▶ Sure, all rationals are also reals
- ▶ Between any two reals are infinitely many rationals
  - ▶ ...
  - ▶ Even though there are uncountably many reals and countably many rationals!
- ▶ Rationals form (countably) infinitely many points on the number line
  - ▶ but this doesn't give you a continuum of numbers!



# Other countability techniques

- ▶ Instead of proving a function  $f$  exists from  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \dots$ 
  - ▶ Find a function  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$
  - ▶ Find a bijection or injection  $A \rightarrow B$  where we know  $B$  is countably infinite
- ▶ Instead of doing a diagonal proof for uncountability. . .
  - ▶ Find a function  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is a bijection
  - ▶ Find a function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow A$  which is an injection
    - ▶ "A is at least as big as  $\mathbb{R}$ "